Optimal ventricular rate slowing during atrial fibrillation by feedback AV nodal-selective vagal stimulation
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Zhang, Youhua, Kent A. Mowrey, Shaowei Zhuang, Don W. Wallick, Zoran B. Popović, and Todor N. Mazgalev. Optimal ventricular rate slowing during atrial fibrillation by AV nodal-selective vagal stimulation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 282: H1102–H1110, 2002.—Although the beneficial effects of ventricular rate (VR) slowing during atrial fibrillation (AF) are axiomatic, the precise relationship between VR and hemodynamics has not been determined. We hypothesized that selective atrioventricular node (AVN) vagal stimulation (AVN-VS) by varying the nerve stimulation intensity could achieve precise graded slowing and permit evaluation of an optimal VR during AF. The aims of the present study were the following: 1) to develop a method for computerized vagally controlled VR slowing during AF, 2) to determine the hemodynamic changes at each level of VR slowing, and 3) to establish the optimal anterograde VR during AF. AVN-VS was delivered to the epicardial fat pad that projects parasympathetic nerve fibers to the AVN in 14 dogs. Four target average VR levels, corresponding to 75%, 100%, 125%, and 150% of the sinus cycle length (SCL), were achieved by computer feedback algorithm. VR slowing resulted in improved hemodynamics and polynomial fit analysis found an optimum for the cardiac output at VR slowing of 87% SCL. We conclude that this novel method can be used to maintain slow anterograde conduction with best hemodynamics during AF.
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) has been long recognized as one of the most frequent chronic arrhythmias. Although the restoration and maintenance of normal sinus rhythm is the ultimate goal, it is frequently not achievable. Therefore, ventricular rate (VR) control during AF remains the only realistic long-term solution in a majority of patients (27). Although a VR from 60 to 90 beats/min has been recommended for patients with AF (45, 47), no specific experimental or clinical data are available to support this recommendation (22).

The VR-hemodynamics relationship could be determined in several experimental models. In choosing the one implemented in this study, we rejected those that are either associated with marked changes of the normal physiology, are inherently imprecise, or are difficult to implement.

First, the atrioventricular node (AVN) can be destroyed (ablated), followed by implantation of ventricular pacemaker. This approach results in retrograde ventricular activation starting with depolarization of the apex. On the positive side, the pacemaker permits maintenance of any desired constant retrograde VR. Because our scope was limited to physiologically normal anterograde conduction, this method was not investigated in the present study.

Second, the AVN conduction function could be depressed by various drugs. This approach preserves the normal anterograde propagation. However, the use of drugs to determine an optimal VR has limitations because the decrease in the VR during treating of AF occurs gradually and unpredictably (22). In addition, most drugs have direct effect on cardiac contractility (31).

Third, partial ablation (modification) of the slow AVN pathway has been used to slow the VR. However, a progressive attenuation of the rate by this method is virtually impossible and the procedure frequently results in complete AVN block (10, 25).

A fourth, novel, approach has emerged recently. It is well established that stimulation of parasympathetic nerves, which selectively innervate the AVN, can slow AV conduction (2, 6, 12, 13, 28–30). In our recent in vitro study, we found that vagally induced slowing of the VR during AF is feasible by using postganglionic endocardial nerve stimulation (23), whereas similar effects were also obtained by utilizing epicardial (44) or endocardial (36–39) nerve stimulation in vivo. This approach is nondestructive and associated with maintenance of physiological anterograde propagation of the cardiac beat. The vagal effects can be turned on and off almost instantaneously and can be repeated multiple times.

We hypothesized that selective atrioventricular node (AVN) vagal stimulation (AVN-VS), by varying the nerve stimulation intensity, could achieve precise graded VR slowing and thus permit evaluation of the optimal VR during AF. Accordingly, the aims of the
present study were the following: 1) to develop a method for computerized vagally controlled VR slowing during AF, 2) to determine the hemodynamic changes at each level of VR slowing, and 3) to establish the optimal anterograde VR during AF.

METHODS

The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Research Committee and is in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Surgical preparation. Fourteen adult mongrel dogs (body wt 21–30 kg) were premedicated with thiopental sodium (20 mg/kg iv) and intubated and ventilated with room air supplemented with oxygen as needed to maintain normal arterial blood gases by a respirator (NARKOMED 2, North American Drager; Telford, PA). Anesthesia was then maintained with 1–2% isoflurane throughout the experiment. The left external jugular vein was cannulated to infuse normal saline with 1
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imal and operating-room lamps were used to maintain a body
temperature was monitored with a rectal probe (model TM-2400,
made to correct any metabolic abnormalities. Body tempera-
ture was monitored. Intermittent arterial blood gas measure-
ments were taken and ventilator adjustments were
made to correct any metabolic abnormalities. Body tempera-
ture was monitored with a rectal probe (model TM-2400, Electromedics), and an electrical heating pad under the an-
imal and operating-room lamps were used to maintain a body
temperature of 36°-37° C.

The right femoral artery was cannulated, and a microma-
nometer-tipped catheter pressure transducer (Millar; Houston, TX) was inserted and advanced to the thoracic aorta to
monitor the systemic aortic blood pressure (AoP). For left ventricular (LV) pressure (LVP) measurements, another Millar catheter was inserted through the left carotid artery and advanced so that the tip was in the left ventricle. Before the insertion, both Millar catheters were soaked in warm saline
for 30 min and precalibrated. After the chest was opened
through a median sternotomy, a pericardial cradle was
created to support the heart. Custom-made Ag-AgCl quadri-
polar plate electrodes were sutured to the high right atrium and right ventricular apex for bipolar pacing and recording. Similar bipolar plate electrodes were also sutured to two epicardial fat pads that contain parasympathetic neural pathways selectively innervating the sinus node (SN) and the AVN, the right pulmonary vein-atrial junction fat pad, and the inferior vena cava-left atrium fat pad, respectively (44). The ascending aorta was isolated, and a flow probe was
placed around it and connected to a flowmeter (16A20A, HT 207, Transonic System; Ithaca, NY) to display and measure aortic flow (AoF). All signals (surface ECG, right atrial and right ventricular electrocardiogram, aortic blood pressure, LVP, and AoF signals) were amplified, filtered, and displayed on our recording system (GE Marquette Medical Systems). In addition these signals along with calibrations were simultane-
ously recorded on magnetic tape (model 400A; Vetter Dig-
ital) for later computer analysis with A xoScope (Axon Instru-
ments) and a custom-written software program.

Delivery of selective AV nodal vagal nerve stimulation. To achieve different levels of VR slowing, a computer-controlled feedback program was developed. The program was personal computer based using an analog-to-digital (A/D) board from Microstar Laboratories (Bellevue, WA). Data acquisition and stimulation output were controlled from Microsoft Excel via a
Microsoft Visual Basic interface with the A/D board. All
desired measurements were written to the spreadsheet in
real time. Development was centered on an existing Mi-
crostar command (PID1) that implemented a classic, propor-
tional-integral-derivative, closed loop process control. Specif-
ically, a R-R value was defined as a target ventricular
interval (TRR), such as the R-R interval during spontaneous
sinus rhythm. The actual R-R interval (ARR) was measured
in real time and compared with the TRR. The difference
between the two was the control error. The PID1 command
would then compute the feedback necessary to move the
measured R-R toward the TRR. For example, if TRR > ARR
in the current beat, the amplitude of AVN- VS was increased
during the next beat, and vice versa. The following algorithm
was used

\[ \text{feedback} = p_{\text{gain}} \cdot \text{error} + i_{\text{gain}} \cdot \int \text{error} \cdot dt + d_{\text{gain}} \cdot \frac{d(\text{error})}{dt} \]

where \( p_{\text{gain}} \) is the proportional correction, \( i_{\text{gain}} \) the integral correction, and \( d_{\text{gain}} \) the derivative correction.

The first component determined the feedback amplifica-
tion based on the absolute value of the difference between
TRR and each current ARR. The second component adjusted
the feedback amplification based on the cumulative value of
the error over all preceding beats. The third component
was included to account for the specific dynamics of R-R changes. The “\( i_{\text{gain}} \)” was the major source of control. In most cases, we
used \( i_{\text{gain}} = 10,000 \), with the “\( p_{\text{gain}} \)” and the “\( d_{\text{gain}} \)” being set
to zero.

This PID1 feedback voltage (range ± 10 V) was used to
determine the instantaneous amplitude of the impulses ap-
plied for VS. Specifically, bursts containing 20 pulses (6 ms
apart, 1 ms in duration) were synchronized with the right
ventricular apex electrogram (Fig. 1). The polarity of each
consecutive pulse in a burst was alternated to diminish any
polarization effects. The impulses were delivered to the ani-
mal via optically isolated constant-current devices (Isolator-
10, Axon Instrument).

Study protocol. The experiments consisted of three steps.
First, after the surgical preparation and at least 30 min of
stabilization, all electrical and hemodynamic data (surface
ECG, right atrial and right ventricular epicardial electro-
grams, blood pressures, and AoF) were collected during sinus
rhythm as the baseline values. During the data collection
period, the sinus cycle length (SCL) was determined by
averaging 100 consecutive cycles by a computer. Second, AF
was induced by rapid right atrial pacing (20 Hz, 1 ms) and
thereafter maintained by subthreshold stimulation of the
right pulmonary vein-atrial junction fat pad (selective VS to
the sinus node and surrounding atrium). The latter stimula-

![Figure 1](http://ajpheart.physiology.org/) A right ventricular apex (RVA) complex (top trace) triggers the delivery of 20 impulses (bottom trace) of vagal stimulation (VS) impulses (6 ms apart, 1 ms in duration, and the polarity is alternated to diminish any polarization effect). The amplitude of atrioventricular node (AVN)-VS impulses is determined with the use of computer feedback control program (see METHODS).
Hemodynamic differences during sinus rhythm, AF alone, and graded VR slowing by AVN-VS. AF normally resulted in irregular and rapid ventricular responses that rendered an average VR substantially faster than the spontaneous sinus rate (the average R-R during AF was 61 ± 10% of the SCL, n = 14). In 2 of the 14 dogs, however, the average R-R intervals during AF were 78% and 81% of the SCL, respectively. In these two cases, the target of 75% SCL could not be achieved because AVN-VS always prolongs the R-R intervals. In two other dogs, a 150% SCL slowing was not achievable at the maximal available intensity of AVN-VS. These four dogs were excluded from the analysis, and the reported results are based on 10 dogs, in which the average R-R during AF was 58 ± 7% of the SCL and all four target VR levels (faster and slower than the sinus rate) were achieved.

The combined averaged VR achieved and maintained in all dogs are shown in Table 1. As expected, compared with sinus rate the VR was significantly faster during AF (125 ± 22 vs. 214 ± 33 beats/min). Computer feedback-assisted AVN-VS resulted in a graded predetermined VR slowing. The feedback control was highly effective, as evident from the closeness of the target and achieved levels (numbers in parentheses in Table 1). Even in the case of the 150% SCL target, the VR was slowed to 86 beats/min, which equals 145% SCL.

Figure 2 shows a representative experiment, in which the four different levels of VR are illustrated. In this figure, episodes of 500 R-R intervals at sinus rhythm, AF, and four different levels of AVN-VS were generated as an uninterrupted sequence for illustration purpose. In the real experiment, as previously stated, each episode contained up to 1,000 beats, was followed by a 5-min pause and the order was randomized. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that although the desired average levels of VR slowing were closely achieved, a substantial variability was observed on a beat-to-beat basis.

**RESULTS**

**Hemodynamic responses during sinus rhythm, AF alone, and graded VR slowing by AVN-VS**

A P value <0.05 was required for statistical significance.

### Table 1. VR during spontaneous sinus rhythm, induced AF, and during AF with 4 target levels of feedback-controlled VR slowing by selective AVN-VS in 10 dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sinus Rhythm</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>75% SCL</th>
<th>100% SCL</th>
<th>125% SCL</th>
<th>150% SCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-R interval, ms</td>
<td>494 ± 87</td>
<td>287 ± 47</td>
<td>371 ± 66(75%)</td>
<td>488 ± 86(99%)</td>
<td>607 ± 108(123%)</td>
<td>715 ± 117(145%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VR, beats/min</td>
<td>125 ± 22</td>
<td>214 ± 33</td>
<td>166 ± 29</td>
<td>126 ± 20</td>
<td>102 ± 18</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. AF, atrial fibrillation; AVN, atrioventricular node; VS, vagal stimulation; VR, ventricular rate; SCL, spontaneous sinus cycle length. The achieved average R-R intervals during the AVN-VS slowing are shown in milliseconds as well as a percentage of the SCL (in parentheses) for 4 target levels. P < 0.001, statistically significant differences were observed between sinus rhythm and the corresponding values shown in columns 3, 4, 6, and 7, as well as between any pair of columns 3 to 7.
125%, and 150% SCL (Fig. 3, B–E). Notably, rapid irregular electrical activation of the ventricles during AF resulted in many aborted beats (asterisks in Fig. 3A) along with deterioration of other parameters. The term “aborted beat” (sometimes referred to as “pulse deficit”) means that there was electrical activation but there was no subsequent SV. In such beats, LV contraction resulted in an insufficient LV pressure, less than the diastolic arterial blood pressure level. As a result, the aortic valve did not open and no blood was ejected from the left ventricle. In this study, we considered the beat to be aborted if the electrical activation was followed by <1 ml of SV. With AVN-VS producing progressive VR slowing, these aborted beats were dramatically reduced (asterisks in Fig. 3, B–D) together with the improvement of other indexes. There were almost no aborted beats when VR was slowed to 150% SCL level (Fig. 3E).

The composite hemodynamic responses in all 10 dogs during sinus rhythm, AF alone, and AF accompanied with different levels of VR slowing are summarized in Table 2. AF resulted in a fast VR with deterioration of all hemodynamic parameters compared with sinus rhythm (P < 0.001). Selective AVN-VS, by prolonging the R-R intervals, improved CO, SBP, DBP, LVSP, LVEDP, ±d(LVP)/dt, as well as SV and decreased the number of aborted beats (Table 2), compare the asterisk-labeled values with the values for AF.

It should be noted that in contrast to the sinus rate, AF was associated with smaller LVSP than SBP values (63 ± 12 vs. 77 ± 17 mmHg). This apparent “discrepancy” resulted from the presence of large (43 ± 9%) number of aborted beats, for which LVSP is always less than SBP. Because an aborted beat produced no AoF, its (apparent) SBP was defined as equal to the DBP of previous cycle. On the other hand, because the aortic valve did not open, it is obvious that the LVSP for the aborted beat was far below the DBP of the previous beat. Slowing of the VR by the VS reduced the number of aborted beats from 43% to 2% (Table 2) and resulted in a progressive reduction of the difference between the averaged LVSP and SBP.

A more detailed analysis of the dependency of the hemodynamics on the VR is shown in Fig. 4, where the experimental data points are superimposed on curves obtained with a polynomial fit procedure for each of the measured parameters (see METHODS). The regression lines for CO, SBP, LVSP, ±d(LVP)/dt, and DBP had a discernible maximum. The maximum occurred between 83% and 140% of SCL but was most clear for CO with a maximum at 87% SCL (Fig. 4A). The remaining parameters exhibited rather monotonic relationships.

Although VR slowing in the entire range from 75% to 150% SCL tended to improve each parameter, there was no statistically significant additional beneficial effect at R-R > 100% SCL for SBP, LVSP, ±d(LVP)/dt, DBP, and LVEDP (Fig. 4, D–I, and Table 2). Statistically significant increase in this range was evident only for the SV and especially for the number of aborted beats [Fig. 4, B and C, and Table 2 (compare the †-labeled values in columns 4, 6, and 7 with the values in column 5)]. Importantly, despite the increased SV,
**Table 2.** Hemodynamic changes (compared with the spontaneous sinus rhythm) during AF alone, and during AF with 4 different levels of feedback-controlled VR slowing by selective AVN-VS in 10 dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sinus Rhythm</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>TL = 75% SCL</th>
<th>TL = 100% SCL</th>
<th>TL = 125% SCL</th>
<th>TL = 150% SCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>100 ± 16*</td>
<td>77 ± 17</td>
<td>96 ± 21*</td>
<td>98 ± 20*</td>
<td>96 ± 17*</td>
<td>97 ± 21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>77 ± 14*</td>
<td>61 ± 14</td>
<td>77 ± 19*</td>
<td>74 ± 17*</td>
<td>69 ± 14</td>
<td>66 ± 17†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVSP, mmHg</td>
<td>101± 12*</td>
<td>63 ± 12</td>
<td>80 ± 11*</td>
<td>89 ± 13†</td>
<td>91 ± 10*</td>
<td>95 ± 12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP, mmHg</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.8*</td>
<td>7.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.4†</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.2*</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+d(LVP)/dt, mmHg/s</td>
<td>1.691 ± 364*</td>
<td>1.327 ± 579</td>
<td>1.624 ± 342*</td>
<td>1.683 ± 414*</td>
<td>1.635 ± 340*</td>
<td>1.674 ± 376*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−d(LVP)/dt, mmHg/s</td>
<td>−1.789 ± 373*</td>
<td>−952 ± 356</td>
<td>−1.315 ± 429*</td>
<td>−1.448 ± 461*</td>
<td>−1.435 ± 310*</td>
<td>−1.539 ± 484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV, ml/beat</td>
<td>22.8 ± 8.0*</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>14.3 ± 5.6†</td>
<td>19.0 ± 6.7‡</td>
<td>21.4 ± 7.4‡</td>
<td>23.6 ± 7.1‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Aborted beats</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>43 ± 9</td>
<td>33 ± 10†</td>
<td>20 ± 8‡</td>
<td>10 ± 8‡</td>
<td>2 ± 6†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, l/min</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6‡</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5‡</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. TL, target level of the average R-R interval as a percentage of the SCL. SBP and DBP: systolic and diastolic blood pressures determined from the aortic pressure (AoP) signal; LVSP and LVEDP, left ventricular systolic and left ventricular end-diastolic pressures determined from the LVP signal; ±d(LVP)/dt, ± maximal rate of change of LV pressure; SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output. P < 0.05 was considered to be significant. † Statistically significant differences vs. AF; ‡ statistically significant differences between the values at 75%, 125%, and 150% SCL vs. the values at 100% SCL; †† statistically significant differences between the values at 100% SCL vs. the values at sinus rhythm.

Thus slowing of the VR beyond 100% SCL resulted in a significant decline of the CO [Fig. 4A, and Table 2 (compare the †-labeled values in columns 6 and 7 with the values in column 5)]. This negative outcome was a combined result of the increased SV and the substantially decreased VR.

Thus slowing of VR to 100% SCL increased most hemodynamic parameters and produced the best CO. However, these improvements were still insufficient to reach the values measured during the spontaneous sinus rhythm (Table 2 (compare ‡-labeled values in column 5 with column 2)). This, at least in part, may be because the slowing of the VR by AVN-VS did not eliminate the irregularity of the heart rhythm. In fact, the example illustrated in Fig. 2 demonstrates that in absolute terms there was larger beat-to-beat variability at the slower VR levels. The summarized data from all 10 dogs are shown in Fig. 5. The relative irregularity is represented by the normalized standard deviation of the 500 measured R-R intervals (as a percentage of SD/R-R, closed symbols). The CO, normalized versus the values at sinus rate, is also shown (as percent, open symbols). Compared with AF, it is clear that the CO increased from 66% in AF to 85% of the maximum when AVN-VS slowed the rate to 100% SCL. At that level of slowing, there was an SD/R-R = 25%. It is interesting to note that further slowing of the VR to 150% SCL actually reduced CO to 70% despite the fact that the variability declined to 17%. Although the present experimental protocol does not permit direct evaluation of the hemodynamic contributions of irregularity (see DISCUSSION), the data suggest a predominant role of the optimal slowing of the VR in maintaining high CO during AF.

**DISCUSSION**

**Major findings.** This study confirmed that selective AVN parasympathetic stimulation through the epicardial fat pad approach could be used to control (i.e., to slow down to a predetermined level) the average VR during AF. AF worsened all hemodynamic parameters compared with those observed during sinus rhythm. We identified a range of vagally induced VR slowing that was associated with improvement of hemodynamics. Although a substantial improvement (vs. AF) of all major hemodynamic parameters was observed at any level of AVN-VS, the best results were achieved when VR was maintained equal to, or slightly faster than, the spontaneous sinus rate. In particular, the CO, which is a product of the SV and VR, was suboptimal at 75% SCL due to insufficient SV, as well as at 125% and 150% SCL due to excessive slowing of VR. Therefore, we concluded that 100% SCL should be a preferable target during AF.

**Fast VR during AF and its effect on the hemodynamics.** Multiple mechanisms are responsible for the detrimental hemodynamic consequences during AF. These include the increase in heart rate, the loss of atrial contribution to ventricular filling, the reduced interval for passive diastolic filling, and the irregular ventricular rhythm (3, 7, 9, 15, 24, 26, 33, 35, 40). Clinically, VR slowing is considered to be the first therapeutic step in a majority of patients with both acute and chronic AF (16). The importance of this parameter is further accentuated by the observation that in patients with successful conversion of AF, CO increase was observed only when the conversion was associated with slowing of the VR (1, 20, 32).

The detrimental hemodynamic response during AF is a complex function of the rapid irregular sequence of shorter and longer R-R intervals. Mechanistically, this produces interaction of two phenomena. First, after a short R-R interval, the inotropic response is decreased due to incomplete mechanical restitution reflecting the dynamics of calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (46). In addition, according to the Frank-Starling principle (4), the short R-R intervals are associated with reduced end-diastolic volume that fur-
ther attenuates the subsequent SV. Second, when a longer R-R interval occurs after a shorter one, the mechanical response after the former exhibits a relative potentiation (34, 46). The random occurrence of shorter and longer R-R intervals during AF results in a complex interaction between the above two phenomena. The overall decreased cardiac index (15) indicates that the persistent, adverse effects of shorter R-R intervals on ventricular performance dominate the hemodynamic outcome.

Although the present study was not designed to directly evaluate the effects of irregularity, the data in Fig. 5 suggest that vagally induced slowing of the VR during AF was associated with an increased standard deviation of the R-R intervals. However, the present observations preclude conclusion about the separate
negative hemodynamic contribution of this factor. In particular, the best CO was achieved at 100% SCL (Table 2 and Fig. 5), despite the fact that at this level of AVN-VS the irregularity was higher than at lesser (75% SCL) or higher (150% SCL) degrees of VR slowing (Fig. 5).

Optimal anterograde VR slowing during AF. Although the beneficial effect of the slower VR during AF is axiomatic, the relationship between the VR and the parameters of cardiac function in AF has not been clearly quantified. In this study, we were able to achieve different levels of VR slowing during AF by utilizing vagal modulation of AVN conductivity without directly affecting ventricular performance. Our results clearly showed that the detrimental, rapid, irregular ventricular response could be successfully attenuated by selective AVN-VS. Slowing the VR to the sinus rate or slightly faster (average R-R interval in the range of 75%-100% of the SCL) appeared to yield best overall results (Table 2). The polynomial fit indicated a presence of an optimum for the CO at 87% of the SCL (Fig. 4). Slowing to 125% and 150% of the SCL further improved some of the other measured parameters, although only for SV and the number of aborted beats the additional changes reached statistical significance (Table 2, Fig. 4). However, the overall hemodynamic effectiveness as reflected in the CO decreased due to excessive reduction of the number of cardiac contractions per unit time. This is in agreement with previous studies suggesting that lengthening of the diastolic interval beyond ~700 ms does not appreciably increase the LV end-diastolic volume, whereas shortening of the diastolic interval to <500 ms impairs LV filling and SV (14). Interestingly, the empirically recommended target rate of 60–90 beats/min for humans during AF (45, 47) corresponds closely to the data obtained in this study (i.e., for an average sinus rate of 70 beats/min, or SCL = 857 ms, the recommended target of 75–100% SCL during AF would be 70–93 beats/min).

Selective AVN-VS as a useful novel method for slowing VR during AF. As previously indicated, the use of drugs to slow the VR during AF has limitations because VR changes are unpredictable in response to treatment (22), and agents such as β-blockers, verapamil, diltiazem, and digitalis, have a direct effect on cardiac function (31). Moreover, drugs lack efficacy in some subjects and are intolerable by others (8).

The approach used in the present study was based on the well-established dromotropic effects of the vagus on AVN. The cell bodies of the postganglionic parasympathetic nerve fibers, which selectively innervate limited regions of the heart, have been identified for both animals and humans (2, 5, 6, 12, 13, 21, 28–30). For example, the fibers that innervate the AVN course through “...a smaller fat pad overlying epicardium at the junction of inferior vena cava-inferior left atrium” (29). It is known that stimulation of these parasympathetic projections can slow AV conduction without affecting other cardiac functions (2, 6, 12, 13, 21, 28–30). Using this method, we have previously demonstrated the possibility to slow VR during AF in vitro (23) as well as in dogs in vivo (44). The present study extended these findings and established that precise VR slowing can be achieved by feedback-controlled AVN-VS.

The superiority of this approach is that it avoids the unwanted effects carried by drugs. Moreover, in our experiments, AVN-VS exerted its effects exclusively on the AVN. That is, when applied during sinus rhythm it did not change the sinus rate (44), and when applied during atrioventricular sequential pacing it did not alter any hemodynamic indexes (not shown in the reported data). Furthermore, AVN-VS allowed VR reduction to several predetermined levels to be achieved promptly during AF. Notably, by preserving the anterograde His-Purkinje conduction, we were able to evaluate the hemodynamic response in a model much closer to the real natural AF compared with models utilizing retrograde ventricular pacing (22).

Implications of the reported findings and study limitations. The anatomic basis of the epicardial approach for selective parasympathetic stimulation has been well established in animal models (2, 6, 12, 13, 21, 28–30). Although only endocardial stimulation has been so far employed to produce dromotropic effects in humans (11, 17–19, 43), it is possible that the technique used in the present study could be applied in some patients, especially postoperative patients with AF. The optimal VR level observed in this study could be considered as a clinical target VR level during AF.

However, due to the nature of animal studies, certain limitations should be considered. First, these are acute experiments. Whether the acutely determined rates would also apply to a chronic stage, and whether enhanced sympathetic tone during exercise would compete with the AVN-VS remain to be determined in chronic experiments. Second, whether the optimal rate derived from healthy animals can be recommended in a diseased state is still not clear. This is important because clinical AF is frequently accompanied by other heart diseases (i.e., coronary heart disease and heart failure). Third, the prolonged effects of AVN-VS during AF need further investigation in chronic experiments. Whether the acutely determined techniques used in the present study could be applied in the AVN destruction and pacemaker dependency is worth a separate investigation. Such studies are needed to evaluate whether or not the price paid in AVN destruction and pacemaker dependency is worth a potential hemodynamic benefit.
The authors thank Donald G. Hills and William J. Kowalewski for expert help during the surgical preparation and assistance in the experiments. This study was supported in part by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Grant RO1-HL-60833-01A1.

REFERENCES


38. Schaueute PN, Scherlag BJ, Scherlag MA, Goli S, Jackman W, and Lazzara R. Transvenous parasympathetic cardiac...


