The novel serine protease PreR-Co promotes endothelium-independent vasorelaxation in rabbit aortic rings
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The novel serine protease PreR-Co promotes endothelium-independent vasorelaxation in rabbit aortic rings. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 284: H704–H710, 2003. First published September 26, 2002; 10.1152/ajpheart.00792.2001.—The effect of a novel enzyme (PreR-Co) that activates renal prorenin was studied on rabbit aortas with and without endothelium. It was tested 1) in the basal tone of nonstimulated or ANG II-sensitized rings or rings precontracted with norepinephrine (NE), PGF2α, high KCl concentration, and 2) in rings pretreated with enalaprilat, losartan, PD-123319, Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester, HOE-140, indomethacin, or serine protease inhibitors (PMSF, aprotinin, or soybean trypsin inhibitors; kallikrein and bradykinin were also tested in ANG II-sensitized rings. Pre-R-Co produced a vasorelaxant effect in the basal tone and in the precontracted rabbit aorta. The effect was endothelium independent, potentiated by endothelium removal or nitric oxide (NO) synthase inhibition, and abolished by boiling the enzyme. In addition, the effect improved when basal tone was increased in ANG II-sensitized aortic rings or in precontracted vessels. No activation of the ANG II, bradykinin, prostaglandin, or NO pathway mediating the PreR-Co response could be obtained, suggesting a direct action of the enzyme. This action seems to be dependent on esterasic activity because serine protease inhibitors like PMSF and aprotinin were able to block the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co.
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was precipitated with (NH₄)₂SO₄, followed by DEAE-cellulose chromatography, gel filtration on Sephacryl S-200 HR, immunoaffinity chromatography, and ion-exchange chromatography using a MonoQ HR-5/5 column associated with a fast-pressure liquid chromatography system and DEAE-Sephadex AP-1 column associated with a high-pressure liquid chromatography system. The active fraction capable of activating inactive kidney renin, named PreR-Co, was dialyzed against distilled water and stored in 50-μl aliquots at −20°C. Aliquots were mixed in Krebs solution immediately before the experiment. All the experiments on aortic rings were made with a sample of PreR-Co that had been previously tested for its ability to convert prorenin in active renin. Briefly, PreR-Co was tested in rat kidney extract incubated with PreR-Co for 15 min at 37°C (34). The renin concentration was measured by incubation with homologous angiotensinogen and ANG I formed by radioimmunoassay. The same protocol was repeated with samples activated by trypsin (an enzyme that activates prorenin). The Pre-R-Co concentration used in isolated rabbit aortic rings experiments (1 μg/ml) is ~50 times lower than the appraised rat plasmatic level (50 μg/ml). Because at the present time there is no available method to measure Pre-R-Co plasmatic levels, this amount was obtained from the fact that a similar effect of activation was obtained with 100 μl of rat plasma as well as with 5 μg of enzyme. We point out that the assay was made with rat kidney extract, as described above.

Rabbit Aorta Preparation

Thoracic aortas from male rabbits (Flanders hybrid, 1.5–2 kg body weight) were obtained from an authorized dealer. The aorta, carefully cleaned of connective tissue, was immersed in Krebs solution (in mmol/l: 128 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 14.4 NaHCO₃, 2.5 CaCl₂, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 1.2 MgCl₂, 0.1 Na₂EDTA, and 11.1 glucose). Thereafter, the vessel was transversely cut, yielding rings of 5-mm length. In some experiments, the endothelium was removed by rubbing it with a wire. The rings were fixed in a Lucite chamber to stainless steel wire holders; one holder was anchored to the chamber, whereas the other was attached to an isometric force transducer (Gould UC2) connected to a chart recorder (Kipp and Zonnen BD 41). The chamber contained 7 ml of Krebs solution at 37°C, pH 7.2, and bubbled with 95% O₂-5% CO₂. In all experiments, rings were equilibrated for 120 min pre-stretched at a force of 2 g, which was previously found to be the optimal tension for ANG II-induced contraction (23). The experiment began when the baseline tension remained stable while the bath solution was changed every 15 min. The presence or absence of functional endothelium was tested, at the end of the experiment, by assessing the ability of acetylcholine (10⁻⁶ mol/l) to relax the previously contracted aortic rings with norepinephrine (NE). After this procedure, the preparation was treated with 100 mmol/KCl.

Protocols

Protocol 1: effect of Pre-R-Co on nonstimulated rabbit aortic rings. To test the possible action of Pre-R-Co on the basal tone of rabbit aortas that had not been exposed before to any vasoactive agent (nonstimulated aortic rings), after a 1-h equilibration period, aortic rings with and without endothelium were treated with Pre-R-Co in a final bath concentration of 1 μg/ml, whereas the paired ring remained as a control.

Protocol 2: effect of ANG II sensitization in the response to Pre-R-Co. In previous work (23), we demonstrated that sensitization with ANG II induced a novel vasorelaxant action on noncontracted smooth muscle to an endothelium-independent agent like atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP). Therefore, the objective of the design of the present protocol was to investigate the possible effect of Pre-R-Co in ANG II-sensitized rabbit aortic rings. Because the vasorelaxant effect of ANP was found to be dependent of increased basal tone (23, 24), the present protocol was also performed to test a possible potentiation in the response. Paired aortic rings with or without endothelium were exposed to ANG II (10⁻⁵–10⁻⁷ mol/l), obtaining a cumulative dose-response curve (CDRC). The bath was changed each 15 min for 1 h until ANG II was washed out and the baseline level was totally recovered. At this time, Pre-R-Co (1 μg/ml) was added and the response was followed for 7 min.

Protocol 3: effect of Pre-R-Co in precontracted rings. To test the effect of Pre-R-Co in precontracted smooth muscle, rabbit aortic rings with and without endothelium were exposed to different agents. A NE CDRC (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁵ mol/l) was obtained. Subsequently, after a stable plateau level was reached, Pre-R-Co (1 μg/ml) was added to one ring, with the remaining paired ring as a control. The response to Pre-R-Co in the contracted vessel was followed for 7 min. A similar experiment was carried out using a CDRC with ANG II (10⁻⁶ mol/l) or high KCl (100 mmol/l), as a contracting agent. The role of endothelium in the response to Pre-R-Co was further studied in NE-contracted aortas with endothelium treated or not treated with an inhibitor of NO synthase, Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (l-NAME; 10⁻⁴ mol/l). To rule out the possible presence of a thermostable vasoactive nonenzymatic compound, the enzyme was tested after it was heated for up to 5 min in a boiling bath and added (1 μg/ml) to the NE-precontracted vessel.

Protocol 4: effect of inhibitors of the RAS and kinins in the response to Pre-R-Co. To test the effect of inhibitors at different levels of the RAS on the response to Pre-R-Co, different compounds were used: an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor (enalaprilat) with the purpose of blocking a possible tissue generation of ANG II, and an inhibition of local kinin degradation, because the converting enzyme also has kininase II activity (19). Aortic rings with or without endothelium were treated with ANG II to obtain a CDRC (10⁻¹⁰–10⁻⁵ mol/l). After the rings were washed and had recovered to baseline levels (ANG II-sensitized aortas), 10⁻⁶ mol/l enalaprilat was added for 15 min to the aortic bath and Pre-R-Co (1 μg/ml) was added to both control and treated rings. A similar experiment was carried out using nonpeptidic ANG II receptor antagonists: the AT₁ antagonist losartan (10⁻⁷ mol/l) and the AT₂ receptor antagonist PD-123319 (1.36 × 10⁻⁷ mol/l). The objective of this study design was to rule out any action of ANG II in mediating the aortic ring response to Pre-R-Co.

To test any nonspecific activity of Pre-R-Co through the release of a vasorelaxant agent related to kinins, a bradykinin B₂ receptor antagonist [10⁻⁷ mol/l p-Arg-(Hyp³,Thi⁵,D-Tic⁷,Qic⁸)bradykinin (HOE-140)] was added to ANG II-sensitized aortic rings as described above.

Protocol 5: effect of kallikrein and bradykinin on rabbit aortic rings sensitized with ANG II. The hypothesis of a tissular kallikrein-bradykinin pathway effect in resting basal tone of ANG-II sensitized aortic rings and the possible effect of Pre-R-Co through its activation was tested using agonists and antagonists of this system. Rabbit aortic rings with or without endothelium were sensitized with ANG II (as described in protocol 2) with the addition of kallikrein (1 μg/ml). A similar experiment was run with bradykinin, and a CDRC (10⁻¹¹–10⁻⁶ mol/l) was obtained in the presence or absence of the B₂ receptor antagonist HOE-140 (10⁻⁷ mol/l). In rabbit aortic rings with endothelium, a CDRC to bradykin-
Published was also obtained during the plateau of a contraction induced by NE (10^{-5} \text{mol/l}).

Protocol 6: effect of indomethacin on the response to PreR-Co. To test whether some cyclooxygenase-derived metabolite was mediating the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co, experiments were performed with indomethacin (a cyclooxygenase inhibitor). Paired rabbit ANG II-sensitized aortic rings with endothelium were treated or not treated with indomethacin (10^{-5} \text{mol/l}) for 15 min, and, thereafter, PreR-Co (1 \mu{g/ml}) was added. A similar protocol was carried out in NE-precontracted vessels.

Protocol 7: effect of serine protease inhibitors on the response to PreR-Co. Aortic rings with endothelium were treated with different serine protease inhibitors: plasma (1 \mu{g/ml} soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI)) and tissue kallikrein inhibitors (1 \mu{g/ml} aprotinin) or a nonspecific serine protease inhibitor (1 \mu{g/ml} PMSF). Each inhibitor was added for 15 min to the aortic bath to nonstimulated or ANG II-sensitized aortic rings. Afterward, PreR-Co (1 \mu{g/ml}) was added to both the control and treated samples.

To rule out the possibility that inhibitors added to the bath did not have enough time to inactivate the enzyme before their interaction with the aortic tissue, another series of experiments were performed. PreR-Co (100 \mu{g/ml}) was incubated for 15 min in Ringer solution (control) or with PMSF (0.1 or 0.48 mg/ml), SBTI (0.1 or 1.0 mg/ml), or aprotinin (0.1 or 2.0 mg/ml), and, after that, 70 \mu{l} of the incubating solution were added to 7 ml of the bathing solution containing the aortic ring (final concentration of PreR-Co was 1 \mu{g/ml}). The higher concentrations were selected because they have been proved to be effective on prorenin activation (34). The lower concentrations of inhibitors were in the range of those of PreR-Co producing a vasorelaxant effect. Because the PreR-Co vasorelaxant effect is greater in the precontracted aortic ring, experiments with simultaneous incubation of PreR-Co and inhibitors were performed by adding the enzyme at the plateau of a NE contraction.

Chemicals

Human ANG II, NE (DL-arterenol), acetylcholine bromide, indomethacin, kallikrein, bradykinin, HOE-140, SBTI, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and aprotinin were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO). Enalaprilat (Lotrial) was from Roemmers. Losartan was a gift from Dr. Ronald Smith (Merck). PD-123319 (P-186) was obtained from Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA). L-NAME was a gift from Dr. Alberto Nasjletti (New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY).

Analysis of Results

The results, expressed as means \pm SE, were analyzed by Student’s t-test to compare paired and unpaired averages. When more than two means were compared, ANOVA and the Newman-Keuls test were used when appropriate. The statistical program chosen was GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), and the level of significance established was \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows records of a typical experiment with the relaxing effect of PreR-Co (1 \mu{g/ml}) in rabbit aortic rings without endothelium on the basal tone of a non-ANG II-sensitized ring (A), on the basal tone of a previously ANG II-sensitized ring (B), and on the plateau of a NE-contracted ring (C). In all cases, the tensions of aortic rings declined immediately in the presence of PreR-Co. In aortic rings with endothelium, the mean fall produced by PreR-Co on the basal tone of nonstimulated rings was 101 \pm 44 \text{mg} (n = 13), whereas it was 327 \pm 105 \text{mg} (n = 16) in ANG II-sensitized rings and 1,916 \pm 561 \text{mg} (n = 7) in the NE-contracted rings. The effect was reversible because, after washout, the aortic ring recovered its contractility to NE. In another experiment using a different agonist (10^{-6} \text{mol/l} PGF_2\alpha), a similar vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co was obtained in the precontracted vessels.

When a sample of PreR-Co was placed for 5 min in a boiling water bath, no relaxant effect in NE-precontracted aortic rings with endothelium was observed.
1,038 ± 250 mg, n = 6, in nonboiled samples). No significant difference in the contractile response to high KCl in the absence or presence of PreR-Co was obtained (developed tension of 4,952 ± 880 mg, n = 7, and 4,489 ± 860 mg, n = 7, respectively).

Figure 2 shows the response of PreR-Co on aortic rings with and without endothelium and sensitized or not sensitized with ANG II. Sensitized aortic rings without endothelium significantly increased the response to PreR-Co when compared with those rings not sensitized to ANG II (P < 0.01). This potentiation could not be obtained in the presence of endothelium. The role of endothelium in the response to PreR-Co was further studied in NE-contracted unrubbed aortas treated or not treated with l-NAME (10⁻⁴ mol/l). The mean vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co was 707 ± 89 mg in untreated aortas (n = 5), whereas in the l-NAME-treated pair it was 2,705 ± 663 mg (n = 7, P < 0.03, unpaired t-test).

The effect of PreR-Co in the basal tone of ANG II-sensitized aortic rings with endothelium was not significantly modified by either pretreatment with the ACE inhibitor enalaprilat or the AT₁ receptor antagonist losartan. The mean fall with 1 μg/ml PreR-Co of arteries with endothelium pretreated with enalaprilat (10⁻⁶ mol/l) was 356 ± 156 mg (n = 6), not significant with respect to the nontreated control (327 ± 125 mg, n = 16, unpaired t-test). The absence of endothelium did not modify the lack of response to the ACE inhibitor (data not shown). Figure 3 shows that losartan (10⁻⁶ mol/l, an ANG II AT₁ receptor antagonist) was unable to modify the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co (1 μg/ml) on the basal tone of ANG II-sensitized aortic rings both with and without endothelium. Furthermore, the potentiation of the PreR-Co vasorelaxant effect by the absence of endothelium was also present in losartan-treated vessels (P < 0.05). PD-123319 (1.36 × 10⁻⁵ mol/l, an ANG II AT₂ receptor antagonist) was also unable to modify the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co (1 μg/ml), which added during the plateau of NE-contracted rings with endothelium. Mean relaxation was 2,355 ± 367 mg in the control rings (n = 6) and 2,238 ± 450 mg in the experimental samples (n = 4, not significant, unpaired t-test).

To test whether the PreR-Co relaxing effect is mediated by kininogenasic activity releasing endogenous bradykinin, kallikrein and bradykinin were tested in ANG II-sensitized aortic rings. Kallikrein (10⁻¹¹–10⁻⁶ mol/l) showed only a contracting effect that was obtained with concentrations over 10⁻⁹ mol/l, and no difference was obtained in the absence of endothelium (Fig. 4). The contractile effect of bradykinin in arteries with endothelium was not modified by pretreatment with HOE-140 (10⁻⁷ mol/l). In addition, no activity of bradykinin was obtained in NE-precontracted vessels. In another series of experiments, no effect of HOE-140 (10⁻⁷ mol/l) was obtained in the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co (1 μg/ml) in ANG II-sensitized aortas with endothelium (188 ± 61 mg, n = 5, in the control vs. 176 ± 54 mg, n = 5, with HOE-140, not significant, unpaired t-test). The vasorelaxant activity of PreR-Co (1 μg/ml) was not modified by pretreatment with indomethacin (10⁻⁵ mol/l) in NE-precontracted rings with endothelium, as shown in Fig. 5. The effect of PreR-Co (1 μg/ml) on the basal tone in ANG II-sensitized and nonsensitized aortic rings with endothelium was not modified by pretreatment with SBTI (1 μg/ml), PMSF (1 μg/ml), or aprotinin (1 μg/ml).

The effect of PreR-Co on ANG II-sensitized arteries was 327 ± 125 mg (n = 16), which was not modified by pretreatment with SBTI (337 ± 153 mg, n = 5), aprotinin (391 ± 90 mg, n = 5), and PMSF (261 ± 150 mg, n = 5) (not significant, ANOVA post test with Newman-Keuls). Similar results were obtained in nonstimulated aortic rings (data not shown). The vasorelaxant effect of
PreR-Co was inhibited when the enzyme was preincubated with PMSF or aprotinin before being added to the bath containing the aortic ring. This inhibition was dose dependent (Fig. 6). However, SBTI, even in the highest concentration, produced nonsignificant inhibition (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The present results demonstrate for the first time that 1) PreR-Co, an enzyme that converts inactive prorenin in active renin, relaxes isolated aortic rabbit smooth muscle; 2) this relaxation is improved when the vascular basal tone is increased and the vessels are precontracted; 3) the relaxing response is endothelium independent and potentiated by the removal of endothelium or inhibition of NO synthase; 4) the relaxing response appears not to be mediated by any autacoid pathway receptor, supporting the view of a direct action of PreR-Co; 5) this dilator action was dependent on esterase activity and blocked by the serine protease inhibitors PMSF and aprotinin; and 6) the concentration of PreR-Co producing a vasorelaxant effect in aortic rings is ~50 times lower than that converting in vitro rat prorenin into active renin. The vasorelaxant effect in nonstimulated aortic basal tone is very small, but it is greatly increased in previously ANG II-sensitized rabbit aortas. This result is analogous to that previously demonstrated in our laboratory (23–25) with ANP. In addition, the PreR-Co relaxing effect is largely increased in NE- or PGF2α-precontracted rings. Nevertheless, it is difficult at present to define the mechanism by which PreR-Co promotes the vasorelaxant action. The presence of a thermostable nonenzymatic vasoactive compound associated with PreR-Co was discounted by the fact that the vasorelaxant response was abolished after the sample was placed for a few minutes in a boiling water bath. PreR-Co is a novel enzyme whose biological actions are unknown. In an earlier study from this laboratory, we demonstrated that PreR-Co promotes the activation of tissular prorenin to renin in vitro (7, 33) and, furthermore, that it does not cleave active renin or angiotensinogen. The lack of a constrictor effect of PreR-Co may also be due to low availability of the enzyme substrate prorenin in the rabbit aortic wall and the inability of PreR-Co to cleave plasma prorenin compared with renal tissue prorenin (7, 33). The extrarenal prorenins, aortic and plasma, would be insensitive to the enzymatic action of PreR-Co. Prorenin is a heterogeneous protein, mainly due to variable glycosylation (14). Moreover, it is not entirely proven that inactive renin in the kidney has an identical configuration as that in circulating plasma (34). This concept could be extended to other fluids and tissues, including the aorta (33). Neither ACE inhibi-
tors nor AT₁ or AT₂ receptor blockers inhibited the vasorelaxant response to PreR-Co. This would indicate the absence of a vasoconstrictor counterbalancing effect through the release of local ANG II, suggesting that another mechanism independent of prorenin to renin activation is involved. The hypothesis of a vasorelaxant effect through other peptides of the angiotensin family having vasodilator properties, like ANG-(1–7) (1, 9), ANG IV (3, 4), and ANG-(3–7) (15), is a tenuous explanation, because, although vasorelaxant action has been demonstrated, their effects are endothelium dependent. Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that a prostanooid derivative from eicosapentaenic acid may produce a vasorelaxant effect in Wistar-Kyoto rat aortas without endothelium (8). This possibility was ruled out because pretreatment with indomethacin was unable to inhibit the PreR-Co action. Recent reports (2, 16) have demonstrated that a hyperpolarizing factor derived from the endothelium regulate K⁺ channels in vascular smooth muscle (like coronary arteries), producing their opening and a consequent hyperpolarization that results in smooth muscle relaxation. The present results show that PreR-Co was devoid of any significant relaxing effect on high KCl-contracted rabbit aortas. Therefore, we assume that PreR-Co or its released metabolite has to produce the relaxing effect from the existence of a minimum level of resting transmembrane potential. However, in the high KCl-contracted vessel, this potential disappears because of the lack of outward diffusion of potassium, secondary to the abolition of the outward/inward concentration difference (5, 32).

All of the above findings appear to support the view that the relaxing response to PreR-Co is not mediated by any autacoid pathway receptor. In addition, the mechanism of the vasorelaxant action of PreR-Co appears to be independent of the tissue prorenin conversion or of any product from the RAS or kallikrein system. The present results clearly show that effect of PreR-Co seems to be associated with its esterasic activity because it was abolished by pretreatment with PMSF (a nonspecific serine protease inhibitor) and aprotinin. It is interesting to point out that there is a difference in the enzymatic activity according to the plasma or tissular substrate. We pointed out above the difference between plasma and renal prorenin (34). At present, we do not know how the inhibitors act with PreR-Co. The first hypothesis of an interaction of serine protease inhibitors with the substrate may be ruled out because PreR-Co was inactivated before its exposure to the vascular tissue. Therefore, it is more probable that the action of inhibitors may be due to an interaction on the active site of the enzyme PreR-Co. In any case, it is necessary to point out that the enzymatic data demonstrate that only B₁ but not B₂ receptors are present in the rabbit aorta (30). Thus it was expected that HOE-140 (a B₂ receptor blocker) did not inhibit either the bradykinin or PreR-Co response.

The possibility that NO or a vasorelaxant prostanooid acts as a mediator of the effect of PreR-Co seems unlikely because removal of endothelium, NO synthase inhibition, or indomethacin treatment did not abolish the vasorelaxant response. On the contrary, in aortic rings without endothelium or L-NAME treatment, the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co was potentiated. In addition, the rabbit aorta is considered a bioassay relatively insensitive to prostacyclin (10, 13). The potentiation by disruption of endothelium may result in an increase of basal tone produced by the lack of a counterregulatory endogenous vasodilator like NO (28). Despite the fact that several contractile and vasodilator prostanooids have been described (18), most of them are endothelium dependent. Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that a prostanooid derivative from eicosapentaenic acid may produce a vasorelaxant effect in Wistar-Kyoto rat aortas without endothelium (8). This possibility was ruled out because pretreatment with indomethacin was unable to inhibit the PreR-Co action. Recent reports (2, 16) have demonstrated that a hyperpolarizing factor derived from the endothelium regulate K⁺ channels in vascular smooth muscle (like coronary arteries), producing their opening and a consequent hyperpolarization that results in smooth muscle relaxation. The present results show that PreR-Co was devoid of any significant relaxing effect on high KCl-contracted rabbit aortas. Therefore, we assume that PreR-Co or its released metabolite has to produce the relaxing effect from the existence of a minimum level of resting transmembrane potential. However, in the high KCl-contracted vessel, this potential disappears because of the lack of outward diffusion of potassium, secondary to the abolition of the outward/inward concentration difference (5, 32).

All of the above findings appear to support the view that the relaxing response to PreR-Co is not mediated by any autacoid pathway receptor. In addition, the mechanism of the vasorelaxant action of PreR-Co appears to be independent of the tissue prorenin conversion or of any product from the RAS or kallikrein system. The present results clearly show that effect of PreR-Co seems to be associated with its esterasic activity because it was abolished by pretreatment with PMSF (a nonspecific serine protease inhibitor) and aprotinin. It is interesting to point out that there is a difference in the enzymatic activity according to the plasma or tissular substrate. We pointed out above the difference between plasma and renal prorenin (34). At present, we do not know how the inhibitors act with PreR-Co. The first hypothesis of an interaction of serine protease inhibitors with the substrate may be ruled out because PreR-Co was inactivated before its exposure to the vascular tissue. Therefore, it is more probable that the action of inhibitors may be due to an interaction on the active site of the enzyme PreR-Co. In any case, it is necessary to point out that the enzymatic data demonstrate that only B₁ but not B₂ receptors are present in the rabbit aorta (30). Thus it was expected that HOE-140 (a B₂ receptor blocker) did not inhibit either the bradykinin or PreR-Co response.

The possibility that NO or a vasorelaxant prostanooid acts as a mediator of the effect of PreR-Co seems unlikely because removal of endothelium, NO synthase inhibition, or indomethacin treatment did not abolish the vasorelaxant response. On the contrary, in aortic rings without endothelium or L-NAME treatment, the vasorelaxant effect of PreR-Co was potentiated. In addition, the rabbit aorta is considered a bioassay relatively insensitive to prostacyclin (10, 13). The potentiation by disruption of endothelium may result in an increase of basal tone produced by the lack of a counterregulatory endogenous vasodilator like NO (28). Despite the fact that several contractile and vasodilator prostanooids have been described (18), most of them are endothelium dependent. Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that a prostanooid derivative from eicosapentaenic acid may produce a vasorelaxant effect in Wistar-Kyoto rat aortas without endothelium (8). This possibility was ruled out because pretreatment with indomethacin was unable to inhibit the PreR-Co action. Recent reports (2, 16) have demonstrated that a hyperpolarizing factor derived from the endothelium regulate K⁺ channels in vascular smooth muscle (like coronary arteries), producing their opening and a consequent hyperpolarization that results in smooth muscle relaxation. The present results show that PreR-Co was devoid of any significant relaxing effect on high KCl-contracted rabbit aortas. Therefore, we assume that PreR-Co or its released metabolite has to produce the relaxing effect from the existence of a minimum level of resting transmembrane potential. However, in the high KCl-contracted vessel, this potential disappears because of the lack of outward diffusion of potassium, secondary to the abolition of the outward/inward concentration difference (5, 32).

All of the above findings appear to support the view that the relaxing response to PreR-Co is not mediated by any autacoid pathway receptor. In addition, the mechanism of the vasorelaxant action of PreR-Co appears to be independent of the tissue prorenin conversion or of any product from the RAS or kallikrein system. The present results clearly show that effect of PreR-Co seems to be associated with its esterasic activity because it was abolished by pretreatment with PMSF (a nonspecific serine protease inhibitor) and aprotinin. It is interesting to point out that there is a difference in the enzymatic activity according to the plasma or tissular substrate. We pointed out above the difference between plasma and renal prorenin (34). At present, we do not know how the inhibitors act with PreR-Co. The first hypothesis of an interaction of serine protease inhibitors with the substrate may be ruled out because PreR-Co was inactivated before its exposure to the vascular tissue. Therefore, it is more probable that the action of inhibitors may be due to an interaction on the active site of the enzyme PreR-Co. In any case, it is necessary to point out that the enzymatic
activity is required for PreR-Co vasorelaxation. It is appropriate to emphasize that the present results show for the first time that an enzyme that activates renal prorenin by an unknown esterase activity produces a vasorelaxant effect in basal tone and precontracted rabbit aortic rings. This finding invites speculation that PreR-Co may have physiological action as a modulator of vascular smooth muscle tone. Because its vasorelaxant effect is greater when the vascular tone is increased, it may have a role in different forms of hypertension characterized by elevated vascular basal tone (18, 28). Clarification of the mechanism requires further investigation.
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