Hemodynamic profile, responsiveness to anandamide, and baroreflex sensitivity of mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase
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METHODS

All protocols were approved by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Animal Care and Use Committee and were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Hemodynamic measurements. Male FAAH−/− (n = 39) and FAAH+/+ (n = 40) mice weighing 25–30 g and 2–3 mo of age were used for the study. The animals were littermate offsprings of heterozygote breeding pairs, as previously described (7). The animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (80 mg/kg ip) and tracheotomized to facilitate breathing (38). Animals were placed on controlled heating pads, and core temperature measured via a rectal probe was maintained at 37°C. A microtip pressure-volume catheter (SPR-839; Millar Instruments, Houston, TX) was inserted into the right carotid artery and advanced into the left ventricle (LV) under pressure control as described (2, 38, 39). Polyethylene cannulas (PE-10) were inserted into the right femoral artery and vein for the measurement of mean immunomodulatory effects, cannabinoids also affect the cardiovascular system (for recent overviews, see Refs. 36 and 37).

The hypotensive effect of AEA and other synthetic cannabinoids is mediated by CB1 present in the myocardium, where they cause negative inotropy (4, 38), and also in the vasculature (19, 28), where they lead to vasodilation (19, 46). The endocannabinoid AEA and CB receptors have been implicated in cardiovascular regulation under various pathophysiological conditions associated with hypotension, including hemorrhagic (47), endotoxic (1, 27, 44), and cardiogenic shock (45). Furthermore, there is emerging evidence suggesting that the endocannabinergic system plays an important role in the regulation of blood pressure (2, 24, 26, 40, 41) and various pathological conditions associated with inflammation (overviewed in Ref. 15).

Fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), the enzyme responsible for the degradation of AEA in vivo, has emerged as a promising target for modulating endocannabinoid signaling, with a therapeutic potential in anxiety, hypertension, and inflammatory disorders (reviewed in Refs. 9, 17, 36, and 37).

In this study we aimed to characterize the cardiovascular profile of FAAH knockout mice (FAAH−/−) compared with their wild-type littermates (FAAH+/+) and to analyze the hemodynamic effects of the endocannabinoid AEA. The results indicate that mice lacking FAAH have a normal hemodynamic profile and increased responsiveness to anandamide-induced hypotension and cardiodepression is due to the decreased degradation of anandamide rather than an increase in target organ sensitivity to CB1 agonists.

TWO TYPES OF CANNABINOID (CB) RECEPTORS, identified by molecular cloning, are responsible for the biological effects of marijuana and its main psychoactive ingredient Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). The CB receptor type 1 (CB1) is most abundant in the central nervous system (31) but can also be found in cardiovascular tissues (1, 4, 19, 28). The CB2 receptor is expressed predominantly by hematopoietic and immune cells (34). The primary endogenous ligands of these receptors, the endocannabinoids, comprise arachidonoyl ethanolamide or anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonylglycerol (2-AG) (reviewed in Ref. 32). Besides well-known neurobehavioral and
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Table 1. Baseline hemodynamic parameters in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice measured by Millar pressure-volume conductance catheter system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FAAH+/+</th>
<th>FAAH−/−</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats/min</td>
<td>473.5±37.0</td>
<td>478.5±30.5</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mmHg</td>
<td>83.6±4.3</td>
<td>84.6±2.5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEP, mmHg</td>
<td>98.4±5.0</td>
<td>101.8±3.6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDP, mmHg</td>
<td>3.8±0.9</td>
<td>5.8±0.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, µl/min</td>
<td>9,521±1,307</td>
<td>8,822±661</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>62.7±4.4</td>
<td>55.5±1.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW, mmHg/µl</td>
<td>1,665±168</td>
<td>1,624±125</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+dP/dt, mmHg/s</td>
<td>9,472±1,218</td>
<td>9,211±917</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−dP/dt, mmHg/s</td>
<td>8,444±1,397</td>
<td>7,831±724</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r (Weiss), ms</td>
<td>7.7±0.5</td>
<td>7.3±0.7</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r (Glantz), ms</td>
<td>11.5±1.2</td>
<td>10.4±1.0</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR, mmHg/ml⁻¹·min</td>
<td>9.9±0.6</td>
<td>10.2±1.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea, mmHg/µl</td>
<td>5.3±0.4</td>
<td>5.6±0.6</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emax, mmHg/µl</td>
<td>9.7±2.1</td>
<td>8.0±1.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSW, mmHg</td>
<td>62.1±9.3</td>
<td>57.8±6.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dP/dt)/EDV, mmHg/s⁻¹·µl⁻¹</td>
<td>224.2±32.7</td>
<td>207.4±39</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPVR slope, mmHg/µl</td>
<td>0.20±0.03</td>
<td>0.22±0.03</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE of 8–12 experiments. HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; LVEP, left ventricular end-systolic pressure; LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; CO, cardiac output; EF, ejection fraction; SW, stroke work; +dP/dt, pressure increment; −dP/dt, pressure decrement; r, relaxation time constant; TPR, total peripheral resistance; Ea, arterial elastance; Emax, maximum chamber elasticity; PRSW, preload-recruitable stroke work; EDV, end-diastolic volume; EDPVR, end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship. Student’s t-test was used for pairwise comparisons.

arterial pressure (MAP) and administration of drugs, respectively. After stabilization for 20 min, the signals were continuously recorded at a sampling rate of 1,000/s by using an ARIA pressure-volume (P-V) conductance system (Millar Instruments) coupled to a Powerlab/4SP analog-to-digital converter (AD Instruments, Mountain View, CA) and then stored and displayed on a computer. All P-V loop data were analyzed by using a cardiac P-V analysis program (PVAN3.2; Millar Instruments), and the heart rate (HR), maximal LV end-systolic pressure (LVEP), LV end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), MAP, maximal slope of systolic pressure increment (+dP/dt) and diastolic decrement (−dP/dt), ejection fraction (EF), stroke volume (SV), arterial elastance (Ea; end-systolic pressure/SV), cardiac output (CO), and stroke work (SW) were computed. The relaxation time constant (r), an index of diastolic function, was also calculated by two different methods (Weiss method: regression of log(pressure) versus time; Glantz method: regression of dP/dt vs. pressure) using PVAN3.2. Total peripheral resistance (TPR) was calculated by the following equation: TPR = MAP/CO. In six additional FAAH+/+ and five FAAH−/− mice, hemodynamic parameters were determined under conditions of changing preload, elicited by transiently compressing the inferior vena cava (IVC) using a cotton swab, inserted through a small, transverse, upper abdominal incision. This technique yields reproducible occlusions in mice without opening the chest cavity. Because +dP/dt may be preload dependent, in these animals P-V loops recorded at different preloads were used to derive other useful systolic function indices that may be less influenced by loading conditions and cardiac mass. These measures include the dP/dt-end-diastolic volume (EDV) relation (dP/dt·EDV), the preload-recruitable stroke work (PRSW), which represents the slope of the relation between SW and EDV and is independent of chamber size and mass, and the end-systolic PV relation [maximum chamber elasticity (EPVR), Emax]. The slope of the end-systolic PV relation (EdPV), an index of LV stiffness, was also calculated from P-V relations using PVAN 3.2. (see Table 1 and Fig. 1). At the end of the experiments, animals were killed by an overdose of anesthetic (pentobarbital sodium).

Calibrations. The volume calibration of this conductance system was performed as previously described (38, 39). Briefly, seven cylindrical holes in a block 1 cm deep and with known diameter ranging from 1.4 to 5 mm were filled with fresh heparinized whole murine blood. An interelectrode distance of 4.5 mm was used to calculate the absolute volume in each cylinder. In this calibration, the linear regression between the absolute volume in each cylinder versus the raw signal acquired by the conductance catheter was used as the volume calibration formula. At the end of each experiment, 10 µl of 15% saline were injected intravenously, and, from the shift of P-V relation, parallel conductance volume was calculated by PVAN 3.2 and used for correction for the cardiac mass volume as previously described (38, 39).

Blood pressure measurements in conscious animals. Arterial blood pressure in unanesthetized mice was measured by the tail-cuff method using a XBP1000 Computerized Mouse Tail Blood Pressure System (Kent Scientific, Torrington, CT). Mice were restrained, and temper-
at ure was controlled at 37°C. After blood pressure readings stabilized, 10–12 additional consecutive readings were averaged.

**Determination of baroreflex sensitivity.** Baroreflex sensitivity was determined by using the phenylephrine (PE) method of Coleman (6). Bolus doses (3–100 μg/kg) of PE were injected intravenously at random sequence. The peak increase in mean blood pressure were then plotted against the corresponding peak increase in pulse period (1/HR), and the slope (in ms/mmHg) obtained by regression analysis of the linear component of the curve was taken as an indicator of baroreflex sensitivity.

**Western blot analysis.** Frozen myocardial tissue from FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice were homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mmol/l Tris, pH 7.5; 1% Nonidet P-40; 0.25% sodium deoxycholate; 150 mmol/l NaCl; 1 mmol/l each of EDTA; phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; and sodium orthovanadate; and 1 μg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin). One hundred microliters of lysate protein were size fractionated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transblotted to a nitrocellulose membrane. Western blot analysis, with rabbit anti-human CB1 polyclonal antibody at 5 μg/ml, was done as described previously (2). Immunoreactive bands were visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence reaction (Amersham Pharmacia) and quantified by densitometry.

**RT-PCR analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from hearts using TRIZol, and the RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Amplification of CB1 mRNA using RT-PCR was done as previously described (35).

**Measurement of endocannabinoid levels.** Myocardial levels of AEA, 2-AG, 1-AG, and N-oleoyethanalamine (OEA) were quantified by liquid chromatography/in-line mass spectrometry, as previously described (2). Values are expressed as femtomoles or picomoles per milligram of wet tissue.

**Drugs.** AEA and AM-251 were from Tocris (Baldwin, MO); PE was from Sigma. HU-210 was from the National Institute on Drug Abuse Drug Supply Program (Research Triangle Park, NC). AEA, AM-251, and HU-210 were emulsified in corn oil-water (1:4) as Abuse Drug Supply Program (Research Triangle Park, NC). AEA, was from Sigma. HU-210 was from the National Institute on Drug

**RESULTS**

**Hemodynamic profile of FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice.** Baseline cardiovascular parameters (MAP, LV systolic pressure, LVEDP, Ea, +dP/dt −, −dP/dt, HR, EF, τ, SV, SW, CO, and TPR) were not significantly different in anesthetized FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Table 1). Figure 1 illustrates typical P-V loops obtained after IVC occlusions in both strains. Note that the slopes of systolic and diastolic P-V relations (ESPVR and EDPVR) are similar in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Fig. 1). The load-independent indexes of contractility and LV stiffness (Emax, dP/dt−EDV, PRSW, and EDPVR) were also similar in the two strains and are summarized in Table 1. Consistently with the above-described results in anesthetized mice, systolic blood pressure measured in unanesthetized animals was also similar in conscious FAAH+/+ (90.9 ± 2.2 mmHg, n = 5) and FAAH−/− mice (89.5 ± 1.8 mmHg, n = 7).

**Increased sensitivity to the hypotensive and cardiodepres- sant effects of AEA in FAAH−/− versus FAAH+/+ mice.** Bolus injections of AEA (20 mg/kg iv) caused a triphasic effect in FAAH+/+ mice (Figs. 2 and 3, see the MAP trace). The transient first phase that lasted a few seconds was characterized by profound decreases in cardiac contractility and HR, followed by a brief pressor response (second phase) associated with increased cardiac contractility. The third hypotensive phase was characterized by decreased cardiac contractility and a slight decrease in TPR, which lasted up to 5–10 min (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). Pretreatment of the mice with the CB1 antagonist AM-251 (3 mg/kg iv) did not affect baseline cardiovascular parameters, AM-251 had no effect on the first and second phases of the response to AEA but completely prevented the subsequent hypotension and the associated decreases in cardiac contractility (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). The AEA-induced hypotension and bradycardia were dose dependent (Fig. 4B).

In FAAH−/− mice, phases I and II of the AEA response were similar to corresponding responses in FAAH+/+ littersmates (see mean blood pressure traces in Figs. 2 and 3). The subsequent hypotensive response accompanied by decreased cardiac contractility and TPR (phase III) was more prolonged in FAAH−/− than in FAAH+/+ mice, and these effects were completely antagonized by pretreatment with AM-251 (Figs. 2, 3, and 5), which, similar to FAAH+/+ mice, did not affect baseline cardiovascular parameters. The increased sensitivity of FAAH−/− to AEA also manifested in a leftward shift of the dose-response relationship for the hypotensive and bradycardic effects of AEA compared with FAAH+/+ littersmates (Fig. 4B).

**Effect of HU-210 on MAP and HR.** HU-210 is a potent synthetic synthetic CB1 agonist, which is not a substrate of FAAH. In FAAH+/+ mice, HU-210 (0.01−100 μg/kg iv) evoked dose-dependent decreases in blood pressure and HR, similar to phase III responses to AEA (Fig. 4A). In contrast to the AEA-induced response, the hemodynamic effects of HU-210 were not different in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Fig. 4A).

**Myocardial endocannabinoid levels.** The AEA and OEA contents of the myocardium was significantly higher in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Table 2) with no difference in 1-AG and 2-AG contents.

**Myocardial CB1 receptors.** The expression of CB1 in the heart, analyzed by Western blot analysis and RT-PCR, showed no significant difference between FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Fig. 4C and D).

**Baroreflex sensitivity.** Activation of CB1 by AEA in the nucleus tractus solitarius has been shown to facilitate the baroreflex (41). We therefore looked for tonic activity of this system by comparing baroreflex sensitivity in FAAH+/+ and FAAH−/− mice. The dose-dependent pressor effects of PE, as well as the reflex-mediated bradycardia, were similar in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice, and, as a result, there was no difference in basal baroreflex sensitivity between the two strains (Fig. 6).

---

*Fig. 3. Phase III hemodynamic effects of AEA are mediated by cannabinoid type 1 (CB1) receptors in both FAAH+/+ (A) and FAAH−/− (B) mice. Representative recordings of the effects of AEA (20 mg/kg iv) after pretreatment with the CB1 antagonist AM-251 (3 mg/kg iv) on MAP (top), cardiac contractility (LVSP and dP/dt; middle), and P-V relations (bottom) in a FAAH+/+ (A) and a FAAH−/− mouse (B) are shown. The six parts in the middle and bottom panels represent baseline conditions and responses 2, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min after injection of AEA. Arrows indicate the injection of the drugs.*
DISCUSSION

We characterized, for the first time, the cardiovascular profile of mice lacking FAAH and documented their increased sensitivity to the cardiovascular depressant effects of AEA using the Millar P-V conductance catheter system. We also show that FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice have normal cardiac function, blood pressure, and baroreflex sensitivity despite the increased levels of AEA and OEA in the myocardium of these animals.

Fig. 4. A: dose-dependent effects of HU-210 on MAP and heart rate (HR) in FAAH\(^{+/+}\) (○) and FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice (●). Note that the effects of HU-210 are similar in the two strains. Values are means ± SE; n = 6 mice for each condition. B: dose-dependent effects of AEA on MAP and HR in FAAH\(^{+/+}\) (○) and FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice (●). Note the increased sensitivity of FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice to the effects of AEA. Values are means ± SE; n = 4–7 mice for each condition. Student’s t-test was used for pairwise comparisons. *P < 0.05, FAAH\(^{-/-}\) vs. FAAH\(^{+/+}\).

C and D: detection of cardiac CB1 receptors by Western blot analysis (C) or RT-PCR (D) in FAAH\(^{+/+}\) and FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice. Optical density (OD) values for Western blot analyses are means ± SE from 5 separate experiments and have been corrected for loading. AU, arbitrary units.

Fig. 5. Hemodynamic effects of AEA in FAAH\(^{+/+}\) (solid symbols) and FAAH\(^{-/-}\) mice (open symbols) after vehicle (circles) or AM-251 treatment (squares). Values are means ± SE; n = 5–7 mice for each condition. AEA was injected at 0 min, as indicated by arrow. Note that AM-251 blocks the major hemodynamic effects of AEA in both strains. Strain- and time-dependent differences were analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Student’s t-test was used after ANOVA for pairwise comparisons. Significance was assumed if \(P < 0.05\) for FAAH\(^{-/-}\) pre- vs. posttreatment (*), for FAAH\(^{+/+}\) pre- vs. posttreatment ($), and for FAAH\(^{-/-}\) vs. FAAH\(^{+/+}\) (#). LVSP, LV systolic pressure; +dP/dt and \(-dP/dt\), pressure increment and decrement, respectively; CO, cardiac output; TPR, total peripheral resistance.
whereas CB1 blockade caused opposite changes (2). The he-

Table 2. Myocardial endocannabinoid content in FAAH<sup>−/−</sup> and FAAH<sup>+/+</sup> mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>AEA, fmol/mg</th>
<th>2-AG, pmol/ mg</th>
<th>1-AG, pmol/ mg</th>
<th>OEA, pmol/ mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAAH&lt;sup&gt;+/+&lt;/sup&gt; 6</td>
<td>7.68±1.29</td>
<td>3.61±0.44</td>
<td>0.24±0.04</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAAH&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; 8</td>
<td>18.83±1.96</td>
<td>4.45±0.83</td>
<td>0.36±0.06</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value 0.0009 0.43 0.15 <0.0001

Values are means ± SE; n, number of experiments. AEA, N-arachidonylethanolamine; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; 1-AG, 1-arachidonoylglycerol; DEA, N-oleylethanolamine. Student’s t-test was used for pairwise comparisons.

Shortly after the discovery of AEA (14), the existence of an AEA-hydrolyzing enzyme was described by several groups (13, 16, 20, 42). This enzyme was purified and cloned (8, 11), and FAAH knockout mice were developed (7). Mice lacking FAAH possess high endogenous concentrations of AEA and related fatty acid amides in the brain that correlate with increased CB<sub>1</sub>-dependent hypoalgesia in these animals as well as a marked increase in the cannabinoid-like behavioral responses to exogenous AEA (7). In a subsequent study, treatment of mice with a potent FAAH inhibitor in vivo elicited similar, CB<sub>1</sub>-mediated hypoalgesia as well as a reduction of anxiety, which could be reversed by CB<sub>1</sub> blockade (22). Neither blockade nor genetic ablation of FAAH altered locomotor or core body temperature functions also regulated by CB<sub>1</sub> (7, 22). This selectivity in the appearance of an endocannabidergic tone for some but not other cannabinoid-regulated behaviors suggests that FAAH may represent an attractive therapeutic target for treating pain and related neurological disorders, as well as anxiety, without the abuse potential of direct acting CB<sub>1</sub> agonists (10, 15, 17, 37). Recently, a number of potent FAAH inhibitors have entered into various phases of preclinical development for therapeutic indications (3, 33). There is also evidence that modifying central versus peripheral FAAH activity affects different physiological processes and may be targeted with appropriate selective inhibitors for distinct therapeutic effects (12).

In this study we provide evidence that mice lacking FAAH have normal blood pressure and cardiac contractility (Table 1), and these cardiovascular parameters remain unaffected by CB<sub>1</sub> blockade in both strains. This suggests that, under normal physiological conditions, the absence of FAAH does not lead to the appearance of an endocannabidergic tone on the cardiovascular system. These results are also in agreement with our recent findings that the FAAH inhibitor URB-597 had no detectable hemodynamic effects in normotensive rats (2). Baroreflex sensitivity was also normal in FAAH<sup>−/−</sup> mice, which is in agreement with the reported lack of effect on baroreflex sensitivity of intranuclear tractus solitarius microinjection of the CB<sub>1</sub> antagonist SR-141716 (40). These findings are very important from the point of the development of future FAAH inhibitors, because such compounds are unlikely to cause untoward cardiovascular side effects, such as orthostatic hypotension, in normotensive individuals. Importantly, in the same study, we demonstrated that URB-597 decreased blood pressure, cardiac contractility, and TPR to normotensive levels in rats with three different forms of hypertension, whereas CB<sub>1</sub> blockade caused opposite changes (2). The hemodynamic effects of URB-597 in hypertensive rats were CB<sub>1</sub> mediated and were remarkably similar to those of exogenous AEA (2), which causes only a short-lasting modest decrease in blood pressure and cardiac contractility in normotensive rats and much longer lasting and pronounced effects in hypertensive animals (2, 24). These findings were interpreted to indicate that hypertension activates a compensatory hypotensive and cardiodepressor tone mediated by endocannabinoids acting at CB<sub>1</sub>, which may be exploited for the treatment of hypertension.

In addition to enzymatic hydrolysis, endocannabinoids are also susceptible to oxidative metabolism by a number of fatty acid oxygenases (e.g., cyclooxygenase, lipooxygenase, and cytochrome P-450) (reviewed in Refs. 5 and 30), and some of these metabolites are potent cardiovascular modulators (18). The effects of knocking out or inhibiting FAAH may thus be confounded by the activation of such alternative pathways of AEA metabolism, particularly in the cardiovascular system, a
hemodynamics to that needs to be explored. To the extent that the activity of such FAAH-independent pathways of AEA metabolism is tissue dependent, they may also contribute to the variability in the degree of increase in AEA content in different tissues of FAAH−/− mice (see below).

In anesthetized rats and mice, intravenous administration of AEA causes a transient hypotensive response, in which a prolonged hypotensive effect (phase III) is preceded by a transient, vagally mediated fall in HR and blood pressure (phase I) followed by a brief, nonsympathetically mediated pressor response (phase II) (23, 38, 43). Inhibition of the phase I bradycardic response by vanilloid TRPV1 receptor antagonist (29) and the absence of both phase I and II responses in TRPV1−/− mice (38) indicates that these components of the AEA response are mediated by TRPV1 receptors. On the other hand, the phase III hypotension and decreased cardiac contractility can be abolished by CB1 antagonists (2, 29, 38, 43) and are absent in CB1−/− mice (21, 25), indicating their exclusive mediation by CB1 and not TRPV1 receptors.

In the present study, the triphasic hemodynamic response to AEA (20 mg/kg iv) in wild-type mice was similar to that reported in earlier studies. In FAAH−/− mice, the CB1-mediated hypotension and decreased cardiac contractility were more prolonged than in controls, and the AEA dose-response curve was shifted to the left (Figs. 2, 3, and 5), which likely reflect the absence of rapid inactivation of exogenous AEA by various tissues (7, 12), including the myocardium. This is also indicated by the elevated levels of endogenous AEA in the myocardium of FAAH−/− compared with FAAH+/+ mice (Table 2). Brain levels of AEA were reported to be about 15-fold higher in FAAH−/− than in FAAH+/+ mice (7), and we found similar differences using tissue from the same colony that was used for the present study (unpublished observations). The smaller difference detected in the heart could reflect the much lower level of expression of FAAH in the heart than in the brain (12) and/or differential activation of FAAH-independent pathways of AEA metabolism (see above).

Altered sensitivity of myocardial CB1 is unlikely to contribute to the increased cardiodepressant and hypotensive effects of AEA. First, myocardial CB1 expression as verified by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis was similar in the two strains (Fig. 4, C and D). Second, sensitivity to the hypotensive and bradycardic effects of the synthetic cannabinoid agonist HU-210, which is not a substrate of FAAH, was also similar in FAAH−/− and FAAH+/+ mice (Fig. 4A). For this reason, it is also unlikely that global changes in vascular CB1 could account for the increased sensitivity to the hemodynamic effects of AEA in FAAH−/− mice (Fig. 5 and discussion above), although localized changes in vascular CB1 receptors cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that FAAH−/− mice exhibit increased sensitivity to the CB1-mediated hypotensive and cardiodepressant effects of AEA, and the decreased degradation of AEA rather than altered target organ sensitivity appears to be the underlying mechanism. Furthermore, FAAH−/− mice have normal blood pressure, cardiac function, and baroreflex sensitivity and show no evidence for an endocannabinergic tone affecting blood pressure and cardiac contractility. In view of our earlier findings of such a tone in hypertensive rats, it remains to be determined whether FAAH−/− display resistance to different forms of experimental hypertension.
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