Comparing microsphere deposition and flow modeling in 3D vascular trees
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Marxen, M., J. G. Sled, L. X. Yu, C. Paget, and R. M. Henkelman. Comparing microsphere deposition and flow modeling in 3D vascular trees. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 291: H2136–H2141, 2006. First published June 9, 2006; doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00146.2006.—Blood perfusion in organs has been shown to be heterogeneous in a number of cases. At the same time, a number of models of vascular structure and flow have been proposed that also generate heterogeneous perfusion. Although a relationship between local perfusion and vascular structure has to exist, no model has yet been validated as an accurate description of this relationship. A study of perfusion and three-dimensional (3D) arterial structure in individual rat kidneys is presented, which allows comparison between local measurements of perfusion and model-based predictions. High-resolution computed tomography is used to obtain images of both deposited microspheres and of an arterial cast in the same organ. Microsphere deposition is used as an estimate of local perfusion. A 3D cylindrical pipe model of the arterial tree is generated based on an image of the arterial cast. Results of a flow model are compared with local microsphere deposition. High correlation ($r^2 > 0.94$) was observed between measured and modeled flows through the vascular tree segments. However, the relative dispersion of the microsphere perfusion measurement was two- to threefold higher than perfusion heterogeneity calculated in the flow model. Also, there was no correlation in the residual deviations between the methods. This study illustrates the importance of comparing models of local perfusion with in vivo measurements of perfusion in the same biologically realistic vascular tree.
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Perfusion is defined as the volume of blood delivered to a certain mass or volume of tissue per unit time. With the use of microsphere deposition techniques (2, 11, 26) and imaging methods such as positron emission tomography (16), single photon emission computed tomography (22), magnetic resonance imaging (4), and computed tomography (CT; see Ref. 13), perfusion has been observed to be heterogeneous in a number of organs such as lungs (11), heart (2, 3, 14), muscle (14, 16), and kidneys (13). The heterogeneity is often presented by plotting the relative dispersion (RD = SD/mean) of perfusion as a function of sample mass. In many cases, these curves are found to resemble a power law relationship as a function of sample mass.

The local distribution of blood flow has to be reflected in the resistance distribution of the delivering vascular network. Many models of blood flow have been proposed that make predictions about the spatial distribution of perfusion (5, 12, 17, 18, 24, 29, 30). Although RD curves with similar power law parameters could be produced, none of these models have been validated by direct comparison with measurements of local perfusion in individual organs. This is due primarily to the fact that most models only match certain statistical features of realistic biological vascular trees and do not represent a particular organ. It is, therefore, a challenging task to establish a direct correspondence between local perfusion distributions in models and in real organs.

In this article, we present measurements of local perfusion in rat kidneys based on high-resolution computed tomography (microCT) images of microsphere deposition. At the same time, detailed pipe models of the arterial trees were generated from a second set of microCT images of arterial vascular casts in the same kidneys. A model of blood flow through the reconstructed model tree is calculated and is then compared with the experimental measurements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental procedure and CT scanning. The animal procedure was approved by the animal care committee of Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Center. Several Wistar rats were anesthetized using ketamine-xylazine (75:10 mg/kg im) and maintained using halothane inhalant. This decreases the renal blood flow by 18% (8) but does not affect the conclusions of the study. The abdomen was opened, and the abdominal aorta was cannulated distally to the renal arteries. The heart was exposed through a midsternal incision, and ~4 ml of silver- and/or gold-coated, 17-μm-diameter, X-ray opaque microspheres (~10^6 particles, Ag/AuVIII-L1080; Microparticles, Berlin, Germany) suspended in Ringer solution were injected in the left atrium in ~10 s. After ~2 min of continued heart beating, the vascular system was flushed with heparinized Ringer solution through the catheter in the abdominal aorta. The blood was drained through a cut in the abdominal vena cava. Next, the thoracic aorta was clamped off with a hemostat, and perfusion was continued with Batson's no. 17 (5 ml of monomer, 1.5 ml catalyst, 100 μl of promoter, and a small amount of blue pigment; Polysciences, Warrington, PA). The viscosity of the casting agent and the perfusion pressure of ~80 mmHg was chosen such that only arterial vessels were filled with the resin. After ~2 h, the kidneys were excised and fixed in 30% ethanol for ~48 h. For CT scanning, the renal arteries were glued to an acrylic base, and the two kidneys were placed in separate cylindrical sample vials (28 mm diameter). The vials were filled with 30% ethanol, and CT scanning was performed to obtain the location of individual microspheres as described previously by Marxen et al. (19 and Fig. 1A). The Batson's no. 17 is invisible in these scans since its X-ray and mass density is approximately that of tissue. After macerating the kidneys for 5 days in 30% potassium hydroxide, a second CT scan of the remaining vascular cast in air (Fig. 1, B and C) was acquired to obtain geometric information on the arterial vasculature.

CT scanning was performed using an MS90 eXplore Locus SP MicroCT scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, London, Ontario). The performance of this cone-beam CT scanner with rotating sample has been described in detail by Marxen et al. (20).
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study, all scans were acquired with a detector pixel size in the object plane of 17 μm at 80 kV peak tube voltage and an exposure of 2,592 mA. Each scan took ~12 h. The size of the reconstructed volume elements (voxels) was 17 μm for the microsphere scans to optimize identification of the metal-coated microspheres and 34 μm for the cast scans to improve signal-to-noise ratio for automated reconstruction of the vascular tree. The resolution differences are immaterial to the analysis.

Cylindrical pipe model of the arterial tree. The method of extracting geometric information about the arterial tree from the cast scans has been described by Sled et al. (28). Briefly, CT images were thresholded, and a seeded growing region (1) was applied to separate vessels from background tissue. Based on the distance transform, which marks the closest distance of each voxel within a vessel to the vessel wall, the vascular tree was reduced to a skeleton of thin segments allowing the location of all branching points to be identified. A reconstructed cylindrical pipe representation of the arterial tree is shown in Fig. 1D. Vessel segments are defined as the connections between two subsequent branching points in the vascular tree. The segment radius is calculated as the average of the distance transform along the centerline of the vessel. The maximum error in the diameter measurements is approximately two voxels (20) or 70 μm. Segment length is defined as the distance from one branch point to the next along the centerline of the vessel.

It should be noted that this reconstruction of the vascular tree in the kidney is similar to that described by Kaimovitz et al. (15) for the heart. However, in the present case, the vascular tree is a faithful replica of the arteries in the particular kidney, and not just conforming to the average statistical properties.

Perfusion zones. Each voxel of the manually segmented kidney cortex parenchyma is assigned to a terminal segment of the arterial tree, the segment for which the distance of the voxel center to the end point of the segment divided by the terminal segment diameter is minimal. The diameter weighting in this nearest-neighbor approach accounts for the fact that larger terminal segments are expected to feed larger volumes. We refer to the sum of all voxels associated with a particular terminal segment as its fed volume. These fed volumes approximate the volume of tissue perfused by the associated terminal artery. Similarly, after the microsphere particle data are registered with the cast data, particles are also assigned to terminal segments. As one moves up the arterial tree from smaller to larger vessels, both fed volumes and particle numbers for all segments. Particle numbers are regarded as proportional to flow assuming complete mixing of microspheres and no recirculation. Particle number divided by the fed volume represents an approximation of the average perfusion in the volume fed by a particular segment. Note that fed volume is an approximation of the real perfusion volume of a particular segment. This approximation becomes increasingly realistic for larger segments because the accurate positions of the perfusion zone boundaries become less significant as fed volumes are aggregated.

Blood flow modeling. The details of the flow model have been described previously by Marxen (18) and are essentially the same as those described by Mittal et al. (21). Briefly, the resistance of each vessel segment is calculated based on Poiseuille’s law. Given that the cast data do not include the smallest arterioles, an additional resistance depending on the terminal fed volume is assigned to each terminal segment assuming that segment conductance down to the level of the capillaries behaves like a power law as a function of fed volume. The power law parameters were estimated based on the measured data. Assuming a constant input pressure at the renal artery and a constant exit pressure at the capillary level, flow through each segment was calculated. Model perfusion was then calculated based on the same fed volumes as for the microsphere data.
Measuring perfusion heterogeneity. RD of perfusion within the kidney cortex is calculated as a function of scale \( V \), with \( V \) being the average sample volume for a given partitioning of the specimen:

\[
RD(V) = \frac{1}{V_{tot}} \sum_{i} \frac{V_i}{V_{tot}} (P_i - P_{tot})^2 - \frac{1}{X},
\]

and \( V_i \) being the volume of an individual sample, \( V_{tot} \) being the total volume of the manually segmented kidney cortex, \( P_i \) being the perfusion within an individual sample volume, and \( P_{tot} \) being the average perfusion, which is independent of scale. \( X \) is the average number of particles found per sample volume. Thus \( 1/X \) represents the square of the RD of the expected Poisson noise \((6, 25)\). This correction is only applied for the microsphere data because it is irrelevant for the model calculations. Two different approaches were used to computationally dissect the cortex into individual sample volumes of different scales. The first approach is referred to as the “grid method” and resembles the standard methodology originally introduced by Bassingthwaighte et al. \((2, 3)\). In this method, the tissue was physically cut into cubes and analyzed for microsphere content. As a computer analogy, a Cartesian square grid is overlaid on top of the cortex, and sample volumes are generated as the intersection of the individual grid volume elements with the cortex as described by Marxen et al. \((19)\). The second approach (“fed volume method”) partitions the specimen into regions of approximately size \( V \) associated with single vessel segments (i.e., branches of the tree) and that sum up to the total fed volume.

RESULTS

The number of microspheres found in the cortex of the left and right kidney were 12,438 and 12,752, respectively. This is a large number given that the rat kidney has \(~36,000\) glomeruli \((10)\). The reason for using such a large number is that as the tissue is divided into smaller and smaller subvolumes, we still want enough microspheres for a precise estimate of perfusion. It has been suggested that 400 microspheres is an appropriate number \((6)\). Once the volume of tissue contains only a few microspheres, the error on the calculated perfusion and the estimate of RD becomes meaningless. Clearly, the number of microspheres that we have used and the associated level of microvascular blockage will disrupt the subsequent physiological flow pattern. However, because this experiment is acute and the microspheres are all delivered in a single bolus, we believe that the deposition pattern is representative of the instantaneous steady-state flow. Figure 1A is a maximum intensity projection showing the particles in the left kidney. As shown in Fig. 2, flow model calculations and microsphere data are highly correlated with respect to blood flow. Figure 3 gives a visual impression of the distribution of local perfusion associated with each segment of the reconstructed arterial tree. The model trees consist of 4,203 segments in the case of the left kidney and 3,239 segments for the right kidney. A small systematic increase of microsphere-measured perfusion in the downward direction \((7)\) is apparent in Fig. 3, which is not modeled in the calculations.

Figure 4 is a comparison of perfusion as predicted by the model with perfusion as measured using microspheres for the 500 segments with the largest particle numbers. These segments span a vessel diameter range from 1 mm to 120 \(\mu\)m (an 8-fold variation) and a fed volume range from 980 to 3 mm\(^3\) (a 300-fold range). These volumes contain associated particle counts of \(~12,500–100\) (a 100-fold range). The mean perfusion (the total flow divided by the total fed volume) is normalized to one for both the experimental microsphere and calculated model results to allow for comparison. The SD of perfusion, which is a function of the scale of the included vessel segments, is two- to threefold larger for the microsphere data than for the model predictions. The fact that the measured perfusion is all between one-half and two times the mean (a 4-fold range) shows that different parts of the kidney (ranging in volume over 300-fold) are all perfused similarly but that the perfusion is not homogeneous. The calculated model perfusions are more tightly clustered about their mean with a range of less than twofold. However, no correlation between the flow model of deviation from homogeneous perfusion and the microsphere measurements of perfusion inhomogeneity is found. The broader dispersion in the microsphere direction than in the model calculation direction is believed to be real. When we extend this scatter plot to smaller vessels, this asymmetry persists. Eventually, the few microspheres in small volumes caused the scatter plot to get even more disperse in the microsphere direction. Consider the RD plots shown in Fig. 5 that summarize the variance in the scatter plot of Fig. 4 at differing sizes of the fed volume. Figure 5 also accounts for the additional variance coming from the Poisson statistics of a few microspheres.

Figure 5 is a comparison of RD curves of the modeled and measured perfusion data. The measured RD values are about two- to threefold higher than the modeled data, which is consistent with Fig. 4. To generate these curves, the mass of tissue used in the denominator was the associated fed volume. Alternatively, the tissue volume can be “cut up” using a

![Fig. 2. Comparison of relative flow predictions based on the model with the microsphere data for vessel segments with one or more associated particles. The lowest horizontal line of data corresponds to vessel segments with only one associated particle. Pearson correlation coefficients on the log-log representations are quoted, and the line is the best fit to the data. Units are normalized to total flow at the renal artery.](http://ajpheart.physiology.org/)
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rectilinear grid as is typically done for experimental measures of RD. The RD curves of the microsphere data that were generated with the standard grid method closely follow a linear relationship on a double-logarithmic plot. This behavior confirms the fractal behavior of the RD data generated by the grid method (3). The slopes of these grid curves (approximately −0.2) are similar to published measurements in the heart (2) and lungs (11). Segmentation using the fed volume sampling method shows a similar linear relationship on a double-logarithmic plot but with a more negative slope (−0.25 to −0.31). RD is very similar for both the grid and fed volume methods for small sample volumes, but the grid sample method produces higher dispersion for large sample volumes. Dissecting the tissue along physiologically more meaningful fed volume boundaries gives, therefore, a more homogeneous picture of perfusion at large scales. The linear regression lines for the calculated flow model give an even steeper slope (−0.35 to −0.40) of the RD compared with the measured curves.

DISCUSSION

The observed high correlation with respect to flow between the model and the microsphere measurements indicates that both the model and the measurements represent, in a first approximation, a consistent picture of blood flow. Both the model flow calculations and the microsphere measurements of perfusion show that perfusion of this organ is approximately constant to within a factor of 2–4 even though the sampled
volumes range over a factor of 300. That the residual deviations from homogeneous perfusion are not correlated between calculation and experiment might be expected given the limited accuracy of both the measurements and the model. The measurements are corrected for Poisson noise, which is a small effect in the large volumes but contributes much of the variance in the smallest segments where the particle count goes down to 100 ± 10. The flow calculations, on the other hand, are continuous and thus do not have this source of stochastic noise. Also, the influence of gravity on the metal-coated microspheres (density ~2 g/ml compared with conventional microspheres ~1.3 g/ml) may influence the experimental results, although this is denied by published results over the range of 1–3 g/ml (26, 27). No such density variation affects the flow calculations. Also, there have been reports of skimming (disproportionate segregation of microspheres at asymmetric branchings in the vasculature; see Ref. 23). Again, there is no equivalent effect in the flow. There has also been evidence that skimming of microspheres causes disparate branch flows at asymmetric branchings (23).

The slopes of the RD curves for the microsphere data generated using the grid methodology (approximately −0.2) are similar to slopes quoted by Grant and Lumsden (13) for contrast-enhanced CT images of cortical perfusion as well as to slope data from the heart (2) and lungs (11). It should be noted that the logarithmic data generated by the grid methodology are well represented by a linear slope model, which indicates that the RD is fractal (3). However, the differences in slope between the grid and volume methods indicate a dependence on the process used to subdivide the tissue. The slope of the RD curve contains information about the correlation of perfusion in neighboring sample volumes. A slope of −0.5 would be expected for uncorrelated data, whereas slopes between 0 and −0.5 indicate a positive correlation (3). The more homogeneous data generated by the flow model appear to be less correlated. However, the logarithmic data for the fed volume RD curve of the left kidney and for both flow model curves are not satisfactorily represented by a straight line, which makes the value of a detailed comparison of slopes of limited value.

In conclusion, this study shows that specific model-based calculations of flow correlate well with microsphere measurements and that both correspond to relatively homogeneous perfusion in the kidney. This presented approach compares for the first time models of flow through reconstructed arterial trees and measurements of flow within a single organ. This new combined approach will improve our understanding of the relationship between arterial geometry and the local distribution of perfusion. The methodology is more powerful than simple analysis of RD curves because it is based on knowledge of both vascular structure and the locations of individual perfused microspheres, and may therefore aid in the interpretation of RD data.

The lack of correlation found between the computational model of perfusion heterogeneity and the microsphere measurements of perfusion heterogeneity at equivalent locations is not yet understood. It may simply result from imprecision in both the computational fluid dynamic model and the microsphere measurements. Alternatively, it may signal a lack of...
fidelity between blood flow and microsphere delivery as suggested by Decking et al. (9). This could arise from “channeling” of microspheres along dominant arteriole branches, inadequate mixing, gravity effects on microsphere filling, inadequate detail in the flow model from ignoring pulsatile flow and vessel elasticity, or a host of other possibilities. To quote from Decking et al. (9), “Clearly, future studies are needed relating local flow to local microspheres deposition at the intermediate scales.” The technique we have described in this paper provides a useful method for these future studies.
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