Reduced central blood volume and cardiac output and increased vascular resistance during static handgrip exercise in postural tachycardia syndrome
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Stewart JM, Taneja I, Medow MS. Reduced central blood volume and cardiac output and increased vascular resistance during static handgrip exercise in postural tachycardia syndrome. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 293: H1908–H1917, 2007. First published July 6, 2007; doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00439.2007.—Postural tachycardia syndrome (POTS) is characterized by exercise intolerance and sympathoactivation. To examine whether abnormal cardiac output and central blood volume changes occur during exercise in POTS, we studied 29 patients with POTS (17–29 yr) and 12 healthy subjects (18–27 yr) using impedance and venous occlusion plethysmography to assess regional blood volumes and flows during supine static handgrip to evoke the exercise pressor reflex. POTS was subgrouped into normal and low-flow groups based on calf blood flow. We examined autonomic effects with variability techniques. During handgrip, systolic blood pressure increased from 112 ± 4 to 139 ± 9 mmHg in control, from 119 ± 6 to 143 ± 9 in normal-flow POTS, but only from 117 ± 4 to 128 ± 6 in low-flow POTS. Heart rate increased from 63 ± 6 to 82 ± 4 beats/min in control, 76 ± 3 to 92 ± 6 beats/min in normal-flow POTS, and 88 ± 4 to 100 ± 6 beats/min in low-flow POTS. Heart rate variability and coherence markedly decreased in low-flow POTS, indicating uncoupling of baroreflex heart rate regulation. The increase in central blood volume with handgrip was absent in low-flow POTS and blunted in normal-flow POTS associated with abnormal splanchnic emptying. Cardiac output increased in control, was unchanged in low-flow POTS, and was attenuated in normal-flow POTS. Total peripheral resistance was increased compared with control in all POTS. The exercise pressor reflex was attenuated in low-flow POTS. While increased cardiac output and central blood volume characterizes controls, increased peripheral resistance with blunted or eliminated in central blood volume increments characterizes POTS and may contribute to exercise intolerance.
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CHRONIC ORTHOSTATIC INTOLERANCE is identified with the postural tachycardia syndrome (POTS) (10). Reduced exercise tolerance is frequently found in POTS (22). On the one hand, it could be argued that exercise intolerance in POTS is directly related to limitations of venous return that occur in most POTS patients (44, 61); this is consistent with data showing reduced cardiac output in many POTS patients (16). On the other hand, mechanisms originating in exercising muscle could contribute to effort intolerance similar to what is seen in heart failure (52).

Voluntary muscle contraction evokes central command (8) and the exercise pressor reflex (24, 40, 53), which depends on the stimulation of sensory afferents from exercising muscle (32). The exercise pressor reflex comprises the muscle mech-
peripheral vasoconstriction, and resting tachycardia in whom reduced muscle blood flow during exercise could directly contribute to early fatigue and exercise intolerance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

To test this hypothesis, we studied 29 patients with postural tachycardia aged 17–29 yr (median = 21.4 yr, 5 men and 24 women) and 12 healthy volunteer subjects aged 18–27 yr (median = 22.8 yr, 3 men and 9 women). Average weight (±SD) for POTS patients was 62 ± 4 kg, average height was 170 ± 6 cm, and average body mass index was 21 ± 3 kg/m². For control subjects, average weight was 67 ± 4 kg, average height was 170 ± 7 cm, and average body mass index was 24 ± 2 kg/m². All POTS patients and control subjects were normotensive. Physiological measurements were performed while subjects were supine.

POTS patients were referred for chronic orthostatic intolerance lasting for longer than 6 mo. Orthostatic intolerance was defined by the symptoms of lightheadedness, exercise intolerance, headache, and fatigue, neurocognitive deficits, nausea, and other symptoms (48) while upright, relieved by recumbency, and with no other medical explanation. The diagnosis of POTS was confirmed on a screening upright tilt table test at 70°. POTS was diagnosed by symptoms of orthostatic intolerance during the tilt test associated with an increase in the sinus HR of >30 beats/min or to a HR of >120 beats/min during the first 10 min of tilt as defined in adult subjects in the literature (29, 47). We subgrouped POTS patients on the basis of calf blood flow measured by venous occlusion plethysmography. Occlusion cuffs were placed around the midtibia above a mercury in Silastic strain gauge (Hokanson) placed at midcalf to measure supine calf blood flow. Measurements were made in the supine position at the beginning of experiments after a 30-min resting period using standard venous occlusion methods (14). We subdivided POTS patients on the basis of calf blood flow. The stratification on calf blood flow comprised a prospective classification scheme based on a priori criteria that were obtained from calf blood flow data previously collected from >80 healthy volunteer subjects spanning prior research protocols. It was shown that calf blood flow was superior to forearm blood flow in separating POTS patients into subsets with similar physiology (64) and was consistent with norepinephrine spillover results obtained at other institutions (20). Our laboratory has described multiple groups of patients with POTS distinguished by differences in peripheral blood flow and peripheral arterial resistance (60, 61). These include a low-blood flow, high-arterial resistance group denoted as “low-flow” POTS characterized by pallor and generally decreased blood flow, most notable in the dependent parts of the body. This low-flow condition is associated with defects in local blood flow regulation and mild absolute hypovolemia. There is also a normal-blood flow, normal-arterial resistance group denoted as “normal-flow” POTS, which is characterized by a normal supine phenotype with normal peripheral resistance supine but enhanced peripheral resistance upright. There is specific venous pooling within the splanchic vascular bed, making this a redistributive form of hypovolemia.

For the purposes of this study, normal calf blood flow was defined as >1.2 ml·min⁻¹·100 ml tissue⁻¹, which is the smallest calf blood flow we have measured in control subjects, and <3.6 ml·min⁻¹·100 ml tissue⁻¹, which is the largest calf blood flow we have measured in control subjects. We defined normal-flow POTS as those POTS patients falling between these limits. Low-flow POTS was defined by a calf blood flow of <1.2 ml·min⁻¹·100 ml tissue⁻¹. All subjects were free from systemic illnesses. Subjects were not taking medications and were nonsmokers. All subjects refrained from caffeinated beverages for at least 24 h. No subject had evidence of cardiovascular or systemic illness. There were no competitive athletes or bedridden subjects. Informed consent was obtained. All protocols were approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects (Institutional Review Board) of New York Medical College.

**Laboratory Evaluation**

Arterial pressure and HR were monitored continuously. We estimated changes in thoracic, splanchic, pelvic, and calf segmental blood volumes and corresponding blood flows by impedance plethysmography while subjects were supine and throughout static handgrip as explained below. Thoracic blood volume corresponded to central blood volume, and thoracic blood flow corresponded to cardiac output measured by impedance cardiography. We (63) have previously used these techniques to study healthy volunteer subjects. All subjects also had absolute blood volume and resting cardiac output measured by indocyanine green dye dilution methods, and results were compared between impedance measurements.

**Protocol**

Tests began after an overnight fast. An intravenous catheter was placed in the right antecubital fossa. Following 30 min, we measured resistance (R₀) and beat-to-beat changes in resistance (ΔR) of thoracic, splanchic, pelvic, and leg segments (terms defined below) using supine impedance plethysmography. We also measured calf blood flow by strain-gauge plethysmography. Impedance plethysmography measurements of thoracic blood flow, calf blood flow, and splanchic blood flows have been previously standardized against indocyanine dye dilution cardiac output, venous occlusion calf blood flow, and assessments of portal blood flow (60, 63). We therefore used impedance plethysmography measurements to continuously estimate changes in segmental blood flows throughout the handgrip evaluation.

Early in the course of the experiment, each subject performed two brief maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs) with their left hand using a handgrip dynamometer (Lafayette Instruments, Lafayette, IN). Subsequently, subjects performed static handgrip while impedance, HR, and BP monitoring were continued. Handgrip was preceded by a baseline phase lasting 5 min, during which impedance, HR, and BP data were collected. Subjects then performed 120 s of sustained isometric handgrip at 30% MVC. This was typically exhaustive exercise. Handgrip exercise at equivalent %MVC resulted in hemodynamic responses that were independent of muscle mass or sedentary state (68, 69). Posthandgrip circulatory arrest was not performed because we were interested in the exercise pressor reflex rather than measurements made after exercise. A feedback system allowed subjects to maintain force near constant. BP, ECG, and impedance flow volume measurements were made continuously but are reported at baseline, at 1 and 2 min of sustained handgrip, and during a recovery period.

**Details of the Method**

**HR and BP monitoring.** An ECG lead was recorded for cardiac rhythm. Right upper extremity BP was continuously monitored with a finger arterial plethysmograph (Finometer, FMS, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) placed on the right middle or index finger and calibrated against an oscillometric brachial cuff pressure. ECG and Finometer pressure data were interfaced to a personal computer through an analog-to-digital converter (DI-720 DataQ Ind, Milwaukee, WI). HR was derived from both ECG and arterial pressure data. All data were multiplexed with impedance data and were thereby synchronized. Continuous BP data were used to identify pulses and to compute HR variability (HRV) and BP variability (BPV) indexes and to perform and compare coherence analyses among the subject groups.

**HRV, BPV, and coherence analysis.** Our prior work (63) demonstrated that the parasympathetic baroreflex regulation of HR becomes less important during handgrip and showed an uncoupling of HR from BP modulation of the baroreflex during the static pressor reflex. Abnormalities in baroreflex control also typify forms of POTS.
resulting in baseline sympathectomy (9, 36, 56). To obtain an index of sympathetic and parasympathetic activity, we used indexes of HRV and BPV to investigate the effects of handgrip on the cardio-
venous baroreflex regulation of HR. We examined the transfer function between BP and HR at middle frequency (0.1 Hz), which relates to sympathetic modulation of BP transduced via vagal efferents (31). We
examined coherence and transit function phase and amplitude (baroreflex gain) during handgrip. Baseline HR and BP data were
captured during a 5-min resting period. Beats were thereafter acquired
during the first minute and during the second minute of handgrip. Data
were analyzed for each minute separately and for both minutes
combined as a single beat sequence. Data were also collected for a
1-min period during recovery from handgrip centered on the time of
minimum BP for comparison. We used custom software to collect
digital sequences containing RR interval and systolic, diastolic, and
mean BPs for each heart beat, as previously described (56). The
coherence function was also calculated at low frequencies. A coher-
ence of at least 0.5 is used to indicate a significant baroreceptor-
mediated relationship between changes in BP and changes in HR (42).
A coherence of <0.5 suggests an “uncoupling” of the baroreflex
modulation of HR and BP (31).

Dye dilution measurement of blood volume and cardiac output. We
used the dye dilution technique with indocyanine green to measure
blood volume and cardiac output (3). This employs a spectropho-
metric photosensor (DDG2000, Nikon-Kohden) validated in clinical
studies (17, 19). We measured the hematocrit from antecubital venous
blood and extrapolated the dye decay curve to the time of dye
injection (time 0), yielding estimated blood volume. The area under
the curve is simply related to blood flow using Stewart’s classic
method (55).

Calf blood flow by venous occlusion strain-gauge plethysmogra-
phy. We used venous occlusion strain-gauge plethysmography to
measure calf blood flow. Supine measurements were made at the
beginning of experiments and were later compared with impedance
estimates of blood flow. We have previously employed these tech-
niques (61, 63).

Impedance plethysmography to measure changes in segmental
blood volumes and blood flows. We have used impedance plethys-
mography to detect blood flow changes and volume shifts during
orthostatic stress (35, 61), during the Valsalva maneuver (62), and,
more recently, during static handgrip testing (63). Impedance methods
have been tested and calibrated against strain-gauge plethysmography
for peripheral measurements, dye dilution for cardiac output, and
indocyanine dilution for splanchnic blood flow in two prior publica-
tions (60, 63). Impedance cardiography is routinely used to measure
changes in cardiac output (7). Our device employs a tetrapolar
high-resolution impedance monitor four-channel digital impedance
plethysmography (UIPI) applied to four anatomic segments defined in
practice by electrode placement. These are designated the thoracic
segment (equivalent to the supraclavicular area to the xiphoid process
interrogated during impedance cardiography), the splanchnic segment
(the xiphoid process to the iliac crest), the pelvic segment incor-
porating the lower pelvis to the knee (the iliac crest to the knee), and
the leg or calf segment (the upper calf just below the knee to the ankle)
(58, 62). Ag/AgCl ECG electrodes were attached at these segmental
boundaries and also to the right foot and right hand, where they served
as current injectors. The device uses a 50-kHz, 0.1-mA root mean
square constant-current signal between the foot and hand electrodes.
Electrical resistance values were measured using the segmental pairs
as sampling electrodes. The midline distance between the sampling
electrodes (L) was measured with a tape measure. We also measured
the circumferences of calf, thigh, hips, waist, and chest to obtain
approximate volume contents of each anatomic segment. We esti-

\[ \Delta \text{Segmental blood volume (ml)} = p \times (L^2/R_1 R_0) \times \Delta R \] 

where \( p \) is the electrical conductivity of blood and estimated as 53.2 \times \exp(\text{hematocrit} \times 0.022), as given by Geddes and Sadler (12). \( R_0 \) is
the resistance of a specific segment prior to handgrip, \( R_1 \) is the
resistance during handgrip, and \( \Delta R \) is the change in resistance
(\( R_1 - R_0 \)) in a specific segment during handgrip. \( p \) was regarded as constant
during the maneuver.

Impedance methods were also used to measure segmental blood
flows. Pulsatile changes in electrical resistance were employed to
compute the time derivative \( \partial R/\partial t \), which we used to obtain the blood
flow responses of each body segment during handgrip.

Blood flow was estimated for an entire anatomic segment from the
following formula:

\[ \text{Flow} = (HR \times p \times L^2 \times T \times \partial R/\partial t) \] 

where \( T \) is the ejection period, \( R \) is the pulsatile resistance, and \( R_0 \) is
the baseline resistance at a given angle of tilt. Respiratory artifacts
were removed from the signal using adaptive eight-pole Butterworth
filtering. Impedance flows are expressed in milliliters per minute for
the anatomic segment and can be normalized by dividing by estimated
segmental volume.

Statistics. Results in the text and tables are reported as means
\( \pm \) SD. Results in the figures are presented as means \( \pm \) SE. Changes in
HRV and BPV and in impedance estimates of regional blood flow and
regional blood volume, HR, and mean and systolic BPs were
compared by ANOVA for repeated measures at baseline before handgrip,
1 min after the start of handgrip, 2 min after the start of handgrip, and
during recovery using the minimum of BP during recovery as the time
of comparison. Data in the tables were also analyzed by ANOVA
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Table 1. Patient dimensions and supine hemodynamic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manual</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Postural Tachycardia Syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>23±3</td>
<td>22±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>170±4</td>
<td>167±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.7±0.8</td>
<td>19.8±0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized blood volume, ml/kg</td>
<td>75±1</td>
<td>73±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed cell volume, %</td>
<td>41±1</td>
<td>40±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance cardiography cardiac index, 1-min⁻¹-m⁻²</td>
<td>4.2±0.3</td>
<td>3.2±0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total peripheral resistance, mmHg·1·min⁻¹·m⁻²</td>
<td>26±7</td>
<td>44±*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats/min</td>
<td>63±6</td>
<td>88±*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>112±4</td>
<td>117±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>90±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous occlusion calf blood flow, ml·100 ml⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
<td>1.04±0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf arterial resistance, ml·100 ml⁻¹·min⁻¹·mmHg⁻¹</td>
<td>33±4</td>
<td>77±*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impedance blood flows, ml/min

| Thoracic | 6,181±717 | 3,663±411* | 5,225±586 |
| Splanchnic | 1,267±233 | 1,216±260 | 2,573±406* |
| Pelvic | 1,038±148 | 522±71* | 783±111 |
| Leg | 134±18 | 88±7* | 107±13 |
| Impedance cardiac index, l/min | 3.7±0.3 | 2.6±0.2* | 3.8±0.4 |
| Normed impedance blood flows, ml·100 ml⁻¹·min⁻¹·mmHg⁻¹ | 32±2 | 29±3 | 43±6* |
| Splanchnic | 6.2±0.8 | 4.1±0.8 | 11±3 |
| Pelvic | 2.9±0.2 | 1.3±0.1* | 2.8±0.4 |

Values are means \( \pm \) SD; n, no. of subjects. HR, heart rate; BP, blood pressure. *P < 0.05, significantly different from control.
corrected for multiple tests. Results were calculated using SPSS software version 11.0.

RESULTS
Subject Size and Supine Resting Hemodynamic Data
All subjects completed the protocol. Patient dimensions and resting supine hemodynamic data before handgrip testing are shown in Table 1. Weight was significantly less in low-flow POTS patients compared with normal-flow POTS and control subjects \((P < 0.01)\). Since there were no differences in height among the subject groups, the body mass index [equal to weight (in kg)/height (in m²)] was also significantly reduced in low-flow POTS. Blood volume was decreased in low-flow POTS but was not different among the subject groups once it was normalized for body weight. Mean hematocrit was also not different. Data were similar for males and females. Pooled gender data are presented.

The cardiac index, as measured by dye dilution, was reduced at rest in low-flow POTS patients compared with control and normal-flow POTS. Systolic BP was similar among the groups, as was mean arterial pressure (MAP), although there was a trend toward increased MAP in low-flow POTS. Calf blood flow, as measured by venous occlusion plethysmography, was decreased in low-flow POTS by definition.

Impedance flow assessments revealed decreased thoracic, pelvic, and calf blood flows in low-flow POTS patients and increased splanchnic blood flows in normal-flow POTS patients compared with control subjects, as we have shown previously.

HR and BP During Handgrip
HR and BP from a representative healthy volunteer control subject and from a low-flow POTS patient are shown in Fig. 1. Both subjects show approximately linear increases in BP with time during the test. Similar changes were observed in all patients. The increases in HR and BP were blunted in low-flow POTS. Figure 2 shows HR and BP data averaged over all subjects. The percent changes in HR and MAP were decreased in low-flow POTS at 1 and 2 min of static handgrip. Although the absolute resting HR was increased in POTS, blunting of the HR increase remained significant at 2 min, even when absolute HR changes were compared (ΔHR was 15 ± 3 for low-flow POTS vs. 18 ± 4 for normal-flow POTS and 21 ± 2 in control subjects, \(P < 0.025\) compared with control).

Overall, systolic BP and MAP increased significantly from baseline at 1 min of handgrip \((P < 0.001)\) and increased further at 2 min of handgrip \((P < 0.001)\), returning at recovery to a pressure that was slightly lower than baseline \((P < 0.01)\) in all subgroups.

HRV, BPV, and Coherence Analysis
HRV, BPV, and coherence analysis are shown in Table 2. HRV-BPV incoherence in POTS. The squared coherence was significantly < 0.5 for low-flow POTS patients. Squared coherence was decreased below 0.5 in all subjects during handgrip and therefore transfer function gain (transfer magnitude), although reported, could not be relied upon as a measure of cardiovagal baroreflex function during handgrip. Changes in transfer magnitude (i.e., baroreflex gain) may not be strictly interpretable under these circumstances. These data suggest an uncoupling of baroreflex mediation of HR and BP in low-flow POTS at all times and during handgrip in other subjects.

Decreased HRV during handgrip and varied BPV. HRV was decreased in low-flow POTS compared with control. HRV decreased during handgrip in control subjects but remained unchanged in POTS. Low-frequency HRV power was de-
creased during the second minute of handgrip. There was a significant \( P < 0.025 \) relative reduction in pelvic and calf regional blood volumes in POTS patients compared with control subjects.

**Segmental blood flow changes during handgrip.** Changes in segmental blood flow are shown in Fig. 4. Cardiac output was increased in control subjects \( P < 0.01 \) throughout handgrip but was not increased in low-flow POTS, where it remained unchanged throughout the handgrip maneuver. Cardiac output did increase during the second minute in normal-flow POTS but remained reduced compared with control. Splanchnic blood flow tended to be unchanged during handgrip in control subjects but was relatively increased in POTS during the second minute of handgrip and in normal-flow POTS during recovery. Pelvic and calf blood flows were increased at 2 min of handgrip in control and relatively unchanged throughout handgrip in low-flow patients. Pelvic and calf blood flows were increased similar to control in normal-flow POTS patients during the first minute of handgrip but then were decreased compared with control during the second minute of handgrip.

**Segmental arterial resistance increases during handgrip: marked increase in total peripheral resistance in POTS.** We calculated segmented vascular resistance using the following formula:

\[
R = \frac{\text{MAP}}{\text{segmental blood flow}}.
\]

Percent changes in vascular resistance are shown in Fig. 5. Total peripheral resistance was slightly increased \( P < 0.025 \) during the second minute of handgrip in control subjects but markedly increased in POTS patients throughout handgrip \( P < 0.001 \). The increased splanchnic resistance seen during handgrip in control subjects was not observed in normal-flow POTS, whereas calf and pelvic resistances were increased in both POTS subgroups during handgrip.

**DISCUSSION**

**Main Findings**

Blunted HR and BP responses to static handgrip in low-flow POTS. Our results show a significantly attenuated change in BP and HR in low-flow POTS patients only. These patients have evidence for sympathetic overactivation at rest with blunted changes observed in response to sympathetic stimuli particularly affecting the distribution and redistribution of blood volume (see below). Typically, while low-flow patients are normotensive, they share many phenotypic features of circulatory insufficiency including pallor, baseline tachycardia, peripheral vasoconstriction, and reduced central blood volume with blunted responses to subsequent sympathetic stimuli (4, 10).

The increase in central blood volume is blunted in POTS. We found that the usual increase in central blood volume during the exercise pressor reflex is abolished in low-flow POTS patients and attenuated in normal-flow POTS patients. In the case of low-flow POTS, arguments related to reduced blood volume may need to be reconsidered in light of newer observations concerning the lack of difference among POTS and control blood volumes once normalized to subject weight. In general, there appears to be an overall reduction in regional blood volume redistribution in low-flow POTS.

Normal-flow POTS patients have blunting of blood volume redistribution that relates to selective pooling in the splanchnic
circulation, as previously described (61). This limits the increases in central blood volume during handgrip. Similar limitation of central blood volume occurs during orthostatic stress (61).

**Total peripheral resistance rather than cardiac output drives regional blood volume.** The most important new finding in this study is that the exercise pressor reflex produces a significant, albeit smaller, pressor response in low-flow POTS patients and that the mechanism of the pressor response is shifted from the increased cardiac output and central blood volume observed in control subjects to increased vasoconstriction and peripheral resistance. Specifically, in low-flow POTS, the cardiac output component is essentially abolished and the pressor response is completely driven by increased peripheral resistance due to global vasoconstriction. In normal-flow POTS, vasoconstriction is more selective and is deficient within the splanchnic regional circulation, which is the largest venous reservoir in the body while supine. An increase in total peripheral resistance has been demonstrated under other conditions in low-flow POTS (61) and during orthostatic stress in normal-flow POTS patients (59) because of marked peripheral vasoconstriction in the pelvic and calf regional circulations. We have presented evidence for sympathoexcitation in POTS (59), and others have presented measurements of increased sympathetic nerve activity with blunting of responses to diverse stimuli (4, 10). We propose that the data reported here support the theories that low-flow POTS patients have inappropriate sympathetic and adrenergic activation possibly driven by central nervous system mechanisms controlling sympathetic outflow, whereas normal-flow POTS patients have reflex peripheral sympathetic activation produced by selective splanchnic blood flow deficits.

**Baroreflex regulation of HR during handgrip (cardiovagal regulation).** A general discussion of the changes in baroreflex regulation of HR during handgrip (cardiovagal regulation).
from results from control patients, in whom HRV and, by extension, baroreflex gain are reduced by the metaboreflex (18), with similar findings in carefully executed animal models (46). Sustained sympathetic effects on the heart are consistent with sustained sympathetic cardiac contractility. In support,

Fig. 3. Percent changes from baseline in thoracic, splanchnic, pelvic, and calf blood volumes during handgrip averaged over all subjects at 1 and 2 min after the start of handgrip and during recovery. Central thoracic blood volume increased for control but in neither POTS group at 1 min of handgrip and remained different from control at 2 min of handgrip and during recovery. The increased cardiac volume corresponded to a decrease in splanchnic volume, which was absent in POTS. *P < 0.05 compared with control.

Fig. 4. Percent changes in segmental blood flow. Changes in thoracic [cardiac output (CO)], splanchnic, pelvic, and leg (calf) blood flow are shown in order. Blood flow increased for the central thoracic blood flow (CO) in healthy controls but not in POTS at 1 min of handgrip. CO did increase in normal-flow POTS patients at the second minute of handgrip. Percent changes in splanchnic blood flows were increased in POTS, whereas pelvic and calf segments were variably affected in POTS subgroups. *P < 0.05 compared with control.
low-flow POTS patients have markedly increased cardiac afterload, no increase in cardiac preload, and sustained cardiac output, suggesting increased contractility. Therefore, it may be reasonable to infer that cardiac sympathetic innervation remains relatively intact in POTS even though baroreflex gain may be reduced.

On the other hand, cardiovagal coherence is inadequate in low-flow POTS at all times. This indicates an uncoupling between BP and HR regulation.

The exercise pressor reflex in POTS (central sympathetic activation). The shift from a cardiac output driven exercise pressor response to an arterial resistance-driven pressor response is similar to observations made in congestive heart failure (6, 40, 53). In heart failure, baroreflexes are markedly impaired with reductions in both sympathetic and cardiovagal baroreflex sensitivities (30). As a result, the ability of the arterial baroreflex to buffer the muscle metaboreflex is severely attenuated (15, 26, 40). In low-flow POTS, the baroreflexes are also impaired with reductions in both sympathetic and cardiovagal baroreflex sensitivities. The arterial baroreflex buffers the vasoconstriction from the muscle metaboreflex and mechanoreflex comprising the exercise pressor reflex by reducing this peripheral vasoconstriction (27). Arguing by analogy, recent data concerning heart failure have indicated an important role for increased angiotensin II and decreased neuronal nitric oxide activity in attenuating the baroreflex (70). Increased angiotensin II and reduced nitric oxide are features of low-flow POTS (33, 57).

Limitations

Inferences concerning sympathetic activation would be more secure if there were direct assessment of sympathetic activity using microneurography. This is next on our agenda. However, changes in vasoconstriction might serve as an operational surrogate for increased sympathetic activity. While there remain issues of transduction from nerve activity to vasoconstriction, others (34) have used a similar approach. Support is offered by microneurographic measurements performed in POTS patients by other investigators (4, 9, 10). Moreover, human experimentation is limited to specific peripheral nerve recordings, which may not necessarily best reflect overall sympathetic outflow. Assessments of central mechanisms remain off limits in humans.

Impedance plethysmography is an indirect measurement of blood flow, and its accuracy and validity may be questioned. We (60, 63) have validated these methods in multiple previous experiments of similar nature using reference standards for comparison.

HRV and BPV indexes are not reference standards for autonomic or baroreflex measurements. However, measured indexes have been consistent with invasive forms of measurement such as microneurography (43). Also, reliable estimates of low-frequency power cannot be readily obtained from 1 min of HR data recording. According to the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology (65, 66), data records of 5 min or longer are standard. However, 60 s of recording can theoretically estimate frequencies down to $1/60 = 0.016$ Hz, although with considerable variance. Use of the average over a number of similar subjects as an ensemble average allows us to...
make reasonable estimates of high- and low-frequency power once averaged over an entire group.

Our aim was to assess the hemodynamic responses induced by exercise pressure reflex during exercise. Other confounding factors, such as central command, humoral changes, physical factors, and other biochemical factors, may be present during exercise. This leads many investigators to perform measures immediately after peak exercise during peripheral circulatory occlusion ischemia, which was not done here. However, central command, while responsible for much of the increase in HR and respiration during exercise, does not appear to increase sympathetic outflow unless the intensity of the exercise is near maximal (23), and humoral contributions appear to require a more sustained form of exercise to achieve importance (37). Nevertheless, central command could contribute to measured changes in HR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Department of Pediatrics, especially its Chairman, Dr. Leonard Newman, and the Division of Pediatric Cardiology, especially its Director, Dr. Michael H. Gewitz, for the unflagging support. We also acknowledge an intellectual debt to our mentors, Dr. Thomas H. Hintze, Dr. Gabor Kaley, Dr. David Robertson, and Dr. Phillip Low for the constant inspiration and stimulation.

GRANTS

This work was supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Grants 1-R01-HL-66007 and 1-R01-HL-074873.

REFERENCES


15. Hammond RL, Augustyniak RA, Rossin NF, Churchill PC, Lapa-


18. Iellamo F, Pizzinelli P, Massaro M, Raimondi G, Peruzzi G, Legran-


55. Stewart GN. Researches on the circulation time and on the influences which affect it. IV. The output of the heart. J Physiol 22: 159, 1897.


