Biventricular pacing-induced acute response in baroreflex sensitivity has predictive value for midterm response to cardiac resynchronization therapy
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Gademan MG, van Bommel RJ, Borleffs CJ, Man S, Haest JC, Schalij MJ, van der Wall EE, Bax JJ, Swenne CA. Biventricular pacing-induced acute response in baroreflex sensitivity has predictive value for midterm response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 296: H233–H237, 2009. First published April 24, 2009; doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00113.2009.—In a previous study we demonstrated that the institution of biventricular pacing in chronic heart failure (CHF) acutely facilitates the arterial baroreflex. The arterial baroreflex has important prognostic value in CHF. We hypothesized that the acute response in baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) after the institution of cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has predictive value for midterm response. One day after implantation of a CRT device in 33 CHF patients (27 male/6 female; age, 66.5 ± 9.5 yr; left ventricular ejection fraction, 28 ± 7%) we measured noninvasive BRS and heart rate variability (HRV) in two conditions: CRT device switched on and switched off (on/off order randomized). Echocardiography was performed before implementation (baseline) and 6 mo after implantation (follow-up). CRT responders were defined as patients in whom left ventricular end-systolic volume (baseline) and 6 mo after implantation (follow-up). CRT responders, CRT increased BRS by 30% (P = 0.03); this differed significantly (P = 0.02) from the average BRS change (−2%) in the nonresponders. CRT also increased HRV by 30% in responders (P = 0.02), but there was no significant difference found compared with the increase in HRV (8%) in the nonresponders. Receiver-operating characteristic curve analysis revealed that the percent BRS increase had predictive value for the discrimination of responders and nonresponders (area under the curve, 0.69; 95% confidence interval, 0.51–0.87; maximal accuracy, 0.70). Our study demonstrates that a CRT-induced acute BRS increase has predictive value for the echocardiographic response to CRT. This finding suggests that the autonomic nervous system is actively involved in CRT-related reverse remodeling.
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CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION therapy (CRT) is a relatively new and effective therapy in drug-refractory chronic heart failure (CHF). Studies have demonstrated that CRT decreases mortality and symptoms and improves quality of life and New York Heart Association (NYHA) class (1, 9). Unfortunately, not all CHF patients experience positive effects of biventricular pacing: about 30% of the patients with an implanted device do not respond to CRT (3, 4, 22). Therefore, several studies have been and are being conducted to identify measures that predict a positive response to CRT.

Permanent neurohumoral activation, i.e., elevated sympathetic tone, depressed parasympathetic tone, and activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, is a hallmark of CHF. Simultaneously with neurohumoral activation, CHF patients have an increased peripheral chemoreflex and a decreased arterial baroreflex. Most therapies in CHF aim to diminish the detrimental influences of this neurohumoral activation and autonomic derangement by pharmacological interruption of the formation of the involved neurohormones or by blocking their effect at the receptor level.

CRT seems to have an acute beneficial effect on the permanent neurohumoral activation and autonomic derangement in CHF. Hamdan et al. (13) found that biventricular pacing acutely reduced muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) when compared with right ventricular pacing. Najem et al. (17) showed that MSNA also acutely increased in responders of CRT when biventricular pacing was switched off; this was not the case in nonresponders of CRT. Furthermore, as our laboratory recently demonstrated, the arterial baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) is acutely improved with CRT (11). It is, however, currently unknown whether such acute CRT-induced autonomic responses are associated with clinical outcome.

Because BRS is an important independent prognostic parameter in CHF (16), we hypothesized that patients showing an acute CRT-induced BRS increase one day after implantation will respond positively to CRT.

METHODS

Patients

The protocol was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee, and all patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study. Consecutive CHF patients eligible for CRT implantation were included in this study. Patients with atrial fibrillation, atrioventricular (AV)-conduction defects, or frequent supraventricular or ventricular ectopy were not included, since sinus rhythm is a prerequisite for reliable noninvasive BRS measurement.

Protocol

One day after implantation, a BRS and heart rate variability (HRV) evaluation was performed. BRS and HRV were measured in each patient in two conditions: CRT device switched on and switched off (on/off order randomized). After the first BRS and HRV evaluation, the CRT modality was changed according to the randomization protocol. After the CRT modality was changed, 10 min of rest followed; hereafter, the second BRS and HRV evaluation took place. Echocardiography was performed before the implantation procedure on the day of implantation and was repeated 6 mo after implantation.

BRS and HRV Evaluation

Instrumentation. During BRS and HRV evaluation, the patients were in the supine position. The upper part of the bed was inclined in accordance with individual sleeping habits to prevent respiratory discomfort. Around the second phalanx of the left middle finger, the
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finger cuff of a continuous noninvasive arterial blood pressure measurement device (Finometer; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) was attached. Around the right upper arm, the arm cuff of an automatic sphygmomanometer (Accutorr 3; Datascopc, Montvale, NJ) was attached. A standard 12-lead ECG was continuously recorded during the measurement procedure. To the lateral sides of the lower part of the thorax, two electrodes were applied to monitor respiration (impedance method). Blood pressure, ECG, and respiration were recorded with an ST-surveyor monitoring system (Mortara Rangoni Europe, Casalechio di Reno, BO, Italy) with a 500-Hz sampling rate.

Measurements. Blood pressure and heart rate (Accutorr; average of 5 subsequent readings) were measured after a 15-min resting period. These blood pressure measurements were used as a gold standard and were compared with the noninvasive arterial blood pressure measurement device. In this way, a reliable noninvasive arterial blood pressure measurement could be established. When the patient had been lying for 10 min, the noninvasive continuous arterial blood pressure signal, ECG, and respiration signal were recorded during 10 min for later HRV and BRS calculation. During this period, patients performed 0.25 Hz metronome respiration [preventing the direct mechanical component of respiration and the respiratory gating effect to enter the low-frequency band (0.04 – 0.15 Hz), in which we compute BRS (10)]. This measurement was repeated after switching the CRT device on or off and an additional 10 min of rest.

Analysis. To characterize arterial baroreflex function, we computed BRS, the reflex-induced increase/decrease of the interval between heart beats, in milliseconds per unit rise/fall of systolic blood pressure (SBP). All signals were blindly analyzed. First, the arrhythmia-free and stationary periods longer than 60 s in the metronome respiration episode were selected (stationary sinus rhythm and blood pressure are prerequisites for a reliable BRS value). Compliance to the metronome respiration protocol was visually verified in the respiration signal. Second, BRS was computed in each of the selected episodes. The BRS algorithm computes the magnitude of the transfer function between the SBP variability (baroreflex input) and the interbeat interval variability (output), averaged over the 0.04 – 0.15 Hz band. Additionally, it calculates 95% two-sided BRS confidence intervals (CI) (27). Finally, the overall BRS was composed from all data segments by the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) method (30). Mean SBP and mean interbeat interval (IBI) were computed by taking the average of all SBP and IBI values from the selected episodes. HRV was also computed from the selected episodes and expressed as the SD of the intervals between normal beats.

Echocardiography

Echocardiographic images were obtained in the left lateral decubitus position using a commercially available system (Vivid Seven; General Electric-Vingmed, Milwaukee, WI). A minimum of two consecutive heart beats was recorded from each view, and the images were digitally stored for offline analysis (EchoPac 7.0.0; General Electric-Vingmed). Left ventricular (LV) end-systolic volume (LVESV) and LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and LV ejection fraction (LVEF) were calculated from the apical two- and four-chamber images using the modified biplane Simpson’s rule (23).

LV dyssynchrony was assessed by tissue Doppler imaging on the apical two- and four-chamber images using the modified biplane Simpson’s rule (23).

Clinical Evaluation

Before implantation and after 6 mo of CRT, clinical evaluation took place consisting of NYHA class assessment, the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLWHFQ), and the 6-min walk test. MLWHFQ was used to assess quality of life (20). The 6-min walk test was used to assess exercise tolerance (14). Evaluation of heart failure symptoms was coded as NYHA functional class.

Response to CRT

Patients were classified as responder when patients showed a decrease of ≥15% in LVESV after 6 mo of CRT (5). Patients not fulfilling this criterion were classified as nonresponders.

Statistics

Results are presented as means ± SD. Paired or unpaired Student’s t-test was used to compare data when appropriate. A Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to evaluate changes in NYHA class within groups. To determine whether BRS has predictive value for the echocardiographic responses to CRT, receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was applied. The ROC curve is a graphical display of trade-offs of the true-positive (sensitivity) and false-positive (1-sensitivity) rates that correspond to each possible discrimination level of the test or variable under consideration: each cutoff level generates a point on the graph. The closer the curve follows the left-hand border and then the top border of the ROC space, the more accurate the test. The closer the curve comes to the 45 degree diagonal of the ROC space, the less accurate the test. For all tests, a P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Study Group

Thirty-five CHF patients were included. Two patients were excluded from follow-up (1 patient because of suspected lung cancer, and the other because of poor quality of the acoustic window during echocardiography that prevented reliable LVEF assessment), thus leaving 33 subjects in our study group. Thirty of them attended in a previous study from our laboratory (11). Baseline characteristics of the study group are listed in Table 1.

All CRT devices were successfully implanted [Contak Renewal (n =18), Guidant; InSync Sentry (n = 13), Medtronic; Concerto (n = 1), Medronic; and Lumax (n = 1), Biotronic]. The AV delay was optimized by two-dimensional echocardiography so that it provided the longest filling time for completion of the end-diastolic filling flow before LV contraction (the mean AV delay was 120 ± 10 ms). No individual adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patient population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonischemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV, ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESV, ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV dyssynchrony, ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor/All blocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction; LVEDV, LV end-diastolic volume; LVESV, LV end-systolic volume; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme.
were made to the interventricular delay; the V-V interval was set at 0 ms in all subjects.

**Responders and Nonresponders**

After 6 mo of CRT, 23 patients (70%) were classified as responders and 10 patients as nonresponders (30%), according to the criterion of a decrease of ≥15% in LVESV. No deaths occurred during follow-up. There were no significant differences between responders and nonresponders in baseline variables (Table 2). In responders, substantial reverse remodeling was present, LVEF increased by 34%, LVEDV decreased by 16%, and LVESV decreased by 28% (P < 0.003; Table 2). In nonresponders, reverse remodeling did not occur; changes over time in LVEF, LVEDV, and LVESV were limited and not statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2). Responders and nonresponders both significantly improved in the NYHA class and statistically significant (Table 2).

**BRS and HRV**

No significant differences in BRS (P = 0.59) and HRV (P = 0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 3). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponders existed at baseline (Table 2). In responders, CRT increased BRS considerably (0.89) between responders and nonresponse
improvement in part of our study population might well be caused by CRT-induced deactivation of CSAR.

Although sympathoexcitation, possibly induced by cardiac sympathetic afferents, is generally observed in heart failure, cardiac vagal afferents might also play a role in the effects observed in our study. No experiments have been conducted to establish the effect of cardiac vagal afferent stimulation on BRS in the setting of heart failure. In healthy animals, stimulation of cardiac vagal receptors resulted in BRS attenuation (35). Hence, cardiac vagal afferent firing, like cardiac sympathetic afferent firing, may well inhibit the effect of baroreceptor firing at the level of the nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS). As a consequence, possible CRT-induced decrease of cardiac vagal afferent firing would, like the possible CRT-induced decrease of cardiac sympathetic afferent firing, lead to facilitation of the baroreflex. This reasoning would become more complicated when both sympathetic and vagal afferents are involved, because it was reported that a major part of these fibers have an occlusive interaction at the NTS (28).

In addition to baroreflex improvement, one would also expect improvement (decrease) in the neurohormone levels. Unfortunately, little research has been conducted about the effects of CRT on neurohormone levels, and the results reported in literature are inconsistent (6, 7, 15, 24). We have not systematically measured neurohormone plasma levels in our study population; hence, a positive association between a positive BRS response to CRT and normalization of the neurohormone levels remains hypothetical.

An echocardiographic outcome for evaluation of the response to CRT was chosen, since it is a robust measure and less subject to both the patient’s and clinician’s interpretation than clinical outcome variables (1, 5). A limitation of this outcome variable is that there are clinical responders that exhibit a decrease of >15% in LVESV; these patients were not indicated as responders in our study. However, Yu et al. (34) showed that clinical outcome variables did not predict mortality; moreover, LVESV was the only independent predictor of all cause mortality. Ypenburg et al. (33) also found that long-term prognosis after CRT is related to the extent of LV reverse remodeling at 6 mo of follow-up.

Obviously, the predictive value of the CRT-induced acute BRS change cannot be used to reduce the number of CRT implantations in those who appear to become nonresponders. The clinical use of our findings would rather lie in additional attempts to adjust the pacemaker settings in expected nonresponders to CRT (subjects not showing an acute BRS increase).

Currently, AV optimization is recommended over interventricular (VV) optimization (25). If an acute positive BRS change is predictive for a positive response to CRT, it could be considered to attempt VV optimization in cases where an acute BRS increase does not occur. To maximize the beneficial effect of CRT by means of VV optimization, aiming for the largest BRS might prove as valuable as the assessment of pulsed-wave Doppler measurements over the LV outflow tract. Of course, the usefulness of such a procedure has to be demonstrated in a prospective study.

Obviously, the limited size of our study group opposes a limitation to the statistical armament suitable for analysis of the data. For a larger group, a multivariate logistic regression would have been appropriate, thus controlling for major confounders like age, sex, heart failure severity (NYHA class), ejection fraction, etc. For our relatively small group we have chosen a simple ROC analysis that, unlike regression analysis, does not model the data but straightforwardly uses the original data for the computation of the CI. To further corroborate the results of our study, a larger study group is needed, thus allowing to control for major confounders.

**Conclusions**

The current results demonstrated that the CRT-induced acute BRS increase has predictive value for the echocardiographic response (reverse remodeling) to CRT. The present findings underscore the relevance of the autonomic nervous system as an effect pathway/mechanism of CRT in CHF.
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