Inhibitory effects of angiotensin-(1–7) on the nerve stimulation-induced release of norepinephrine and neuropeptide Y from the mesenteric arterial bed

Mirnela Byku, Heather Macarthur, and Thomas C. Westfall
Department of Pharmacological and Physiological Science, St. Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri
Submitted 29 April 2009; accepted in final form 10 November 2009

Sympathetic nerves synthesize and release the neurotransmitters norepinephrine (NE), neuropeptide Y (NPY), and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (37, 42). Each neurotransmitter mimics a specific phase of the sympathetic nerve stimulation (NS) when administered to tissue preparations innervated by sympathetic nerves (37, 33). Postjunctionally, NE, NPY, and ATP produce contraction of vascular smooth muscle through the activation of α1, Y1, and P2X receptors, respectively. Prejunctionally, these mediators activate the α2, Y2, and P2X receptors, respectively, resulting in feedback inhibition of their own release, as well as the release of each other (53, 57).

In addition to the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and its cotransmitters, the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) is also an important modulator of vascular tone. The RAS was originally viewed solely as a hormonal circulating system involved in salt, water, and blood pressure homeostasis. However, current evidence recognizes distinct components of the RAS that are active locally in the vasculature, heart, and other tissues (6, 41). Although angiotensin (ANG) II is a key mediator of the actions of the RAS, it is now known that this system results in the synthesis of other peptides, which also have important physiological and biological actions. One of these is the heptapeptide ANG-(1–7). There are numerous studies demonstrating ANG-(1–7) to be a major physiologically active product of the RAS (12, 46, 48, 54). Ferrario and colleagues have suggested that ANG-(1–7) acts as an endogenous inhibitor of ANG II, therefore providing a negative feedback mechanism for the regulation of the hypertensive action of ANG II, and contributing to the antihypertensive effects of ANG converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and ANG type 1 (AT1) receptor blockers (ARB) (24). The receptor site of action of ANG-(1–7) is somewhat controversial. In some investigations, ANG-(1–7) has acted through non-AT1/AT2-receptor mediated mechanisms, whereas, in others, its effects have been blocked by either AT1- or AT2-receptor antagonists (17, 49). However, most evidence suggests that the heptapeptide is an endogenous ligand for the G-protein-coupled receptor Mas. The genetic deletion of this receptor abolishes the ANG-(1–7)-induced relaxation and antidiuretic responses in the mouse, suggesting that Mas is a functional receptor for ANG-(1–7) (47). ANG-(1–7) may oppose the actions of ANG II, either through direct activation of Mas receptors, or by stimulating the release of nitric oxide (NO), bradykinin, and prostaglandins through the activation of either Mas or AT2 receptors (2, 3, 15, 32).

ANG-(1–7) was reported to inhibit the evoked release of NE from hypothalamic preparations, with a greater effect in hypertensive rats than in normotensive rats (18, 19). A similar inhibitory effect of ANG-(1–7) was observed on the ANG I- and ANG II-induced facilitation of NE release from the perfused rat kidneys of both normotensive and hypertensive rats (54). On the contrary, ANG-(1–7) enhanced the evoked release of NE from rat atrial preparations in a manner similar to ANG II (17). Due to controversy about the effects and mechanism of action of ANG-(1–7), further research is needed to elucidate the role of this peptide in sympathetic neurotransmission modulation.

The perfused mesenteric arterial bed of the rat contains a dense sympathetic innervation and represents an excellent model of the vascular neuroeffector junction. Spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR) have been extensively studied, and it is well established that these animals develop hypertension as they age (22, 38, 40, 45, 58, 62). In addition, the facilitating...
The effect of the RAS on sympathetic neurotransmission in SHR has been shown to be increased compared with that in normotensive controls, suggesting a dysfunction in the interaction between RAS and the SNS in this model of hypertension (11, 25, 36, 39, 43).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of ANG-(1–7) on the nerve NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure and NE and NPY overflow from the mesenteric arterial bed of 10- to 12-wk-old SHR and compare it to age-matched normotensive WKY controls. In our recently published data, we show that ANG II enhances sympathetic neurotransmission in SHR to a greater extent than WKY, even at a prehypertensive stage in their development, suggesting a possible mechanism for the development of hypertension in this model (4). In this study, we show that ANG-(1–7) does indeed modulate sympathetic neurotransmitter overflow in a manner that may oppose the effects of ANG II on sympathetic neurotransmission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. ANG-(1–7), captopril (ACE inhibitor), EMD6684 (AT1 receptor antagonist), PD-123319 (AT2 receptor antagonist), L-NAME (Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester, NO synthase inhibitor), bradyzin (B2 receptor antagonist), the protease inhibitor cocktail (for mamma-
lian cell and tissue extracts), and Krebs’ buffer salts were all pur-
chased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). (n-Ala3)-ANG-(1–7) (Mas-
receptor antagonist) was purchased from Bachem. The NPY EIA kit
was purchased from Peninsula Laboratories (San Carlos, CA).

Animals. We used 10- to 12-wk-old age-matched male Wistar
Kyoto (WKY) and SHR in all of our experiments. We used 10- to
12-wk-old rats because we consistently found in our studies that
the blood pressure starts to rise around 8 wk of age in SHR compared
with normotensive WKY, and they are fully hypertensive by 10–12 wk
of age. Animals were housed two to four per cage, in constant temper-
ature and 12:12 h light-dark cycle room. Rats were fed standard rat
chow and water at will, and they were under the supervision of the
Department of Comparative Medicine. All of the experimental pro-
cedures carried out were in accordance with the National Institutes
of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care
and Use committee of St. Louis University Health Sciences Center.

Isolated, perfused mesenteric preparation of the rat: periarterial
NS. Platinum ring electrodes were placed around the artery, and, when
appropriate, the periartrial nerve was stimulated at 16 Hz for 30 s for
catecholamine (CA) release, followed by 1.5-min stimulation 15 min
later to elicit NPY release, using a Grass S-88 stimulator. The set of
stimulations was than repeated 30 min later, in either the presence or
absence of drugs. Perfusate effluents were collected continuously in
1-min time frames before, during, and after periartrial NS, into either
1 ml cold 0.4 N perchloric acid with 0.1% cysteine for CA studies, or
1% trifluoroacetic acid for NPY studies. A total collection time of 8
min was employed, with 2 min (basal) before and 6 min during and
after stimulation for NPY. For NE, we collected for 6 min (basal)
before and 6 min during and after stimulation, for a total collection
time of 12 min. The collections were stored at −80°C for maximal
stability until they were ready to be assayed.

NE measurement. NE in acidified release medium, perfusate, and
superperfsuate samples was identified and quantified by high-pressure
liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. The system
consists of a Varian Pro-Star solvent delivery system and a model
9090 autosampler (Varian, Walnut Creek, CA), coupled to a C18
column and an ESA Coulouchem II detector. Separations were per-
formed isocratically using a filtered and degassed mobile phase,
consisting of 12% methanol, 0.1 M sodium phosphate, 0.2 mM
sodium octyl sulfate, and 0.1 mM EDTA, adjusted to pH 2.8 with
phosphoric acid. The high-pressure liquid chromatography system
is coupled to a computer, where the chromatograms were recorded and
analyzed with Varian Star workstation software.

NPY measurement. The samples collected were pooled in groups of
to two to facilitate concentration assessment. NPY amounts in the
perfusate samples were purified by use of C18 Sep-Pak columns and
measured by a 96-well plate enzyme immunoassay kit (Peninsula
Laboratories, San Carlos, CA). The 96-well plate was read by a
Powerwave X plate reader (Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT), and
the calculation of sample value was analyzed by KC Junior Software
(Biotek Instruments).

Statistical analysis of data. Data are expressed as means ± SE.
Statistical analysis was carried out by Student’s t-test when comparing
increase from basal (Table 1), or by one-way analysis of variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>Nerve Stimulation</th>
<th>Increase (Nerve Stimulation-Basil)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>WKY</td>
<td>16.86±1.79</td>
<td>86±9.09§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>36±2.98</td>
<td>193±5.69§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPY overflow, ng/ml</td>
<td>WKY</td>
<td>0.1±0.01</td>
<td>0.301±0.049§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>0.24±0.035</td>
<td>0.665±0.09§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE overflow, ng/6 min</td>
<td>WKY</td>
<td>5.28±0.22</td>
<td>7.68±0.22§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>7.68±0.42</td>
<td>12.8±1.08§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; n = 7 Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) or n = 8 spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR). NPY, neuropeptide Y; NE, norepinephrine. Values are given before (basal) and after nerve stimulation. §P < 0.01 and †P < 0.001 compared with WKY. ‡P < 0.01 and §P < 0.001 compared with basal.
followed by Neuman-Keuls multiple statistical comparison tests when comparing changes across multiple groups (Figs. 1–7). Differences were accepted when $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Perfusion pressure increase in response to NS is higher in the mesenteric beds from SHR than WKY rats. The mean basal perfusion pressures of mesenteric beds from WKY and SHR were 16.86 ± 1.79 and 36 ± 2.98 mmHg, respectively, as depicted in Table 1. Periarterial NS at 16 Hz increased the perfusion pressure to 86 ± 9.09 and 193 ± 5.69 mmHg for WKY and SHR, respectively. The increase in perfusion pressure was significantly greater in SHR preparations than WKY controls.

The overflow of NE and NPY from the SHR mesenteric beds is higher than from WKY. Table 1 depicts basal and nerve-stimulated NE and NPY overflow from the mesenteric beds of 10- to 12-wk-old WKY and SHR. Basal NE overflow was 5.28 ± 0.26 and 7.68 ± 0.42 ng/6 min for WKY and SHR, respectively. Upon NS, NE overflow increased to 7.68 ± 0.26 and 12.88 ± 1.08 ng/6 min for WKY and SHR, respectively. The NS-induced change was greater in SHR than WKY preparations.

In addition, NS at 16 Hz also increased NPY overflow to 0.26 and 7.68 ng/6 min for WKY and SHR, respectively. Nerve-stimulated NPY overflow decreased to 0.24 ± 0.035 ng/ml from SHR preparations and 0.24 ± 0.035 ng/ml from WKY preparations. The increase in NPY overflow due to NS was also greater in SHR.

ANG-(1–7) attenuates the nerve-stimulated NE and NPY overflow and perfusion pressure responses to a greater extent in mesenteric beds of SHR compared with WKY. ANG-(1–7) (0.01 μM) reduced the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure from 69.14 ± 9.34 to 32.85 ± 4.2 mmHg in mesenteric preparations of WKY and from 160.6 ± 6.34 to 101.4 ± 13.2 mmHg in SHR preparations (Fig. 1A). In addition, ANG-(1–7) decreased NE overflow from mesenteric bed of SHR to a greater extent than WKY (e.g., to 0.45 ± 0.08-fold for SHR compared with 0.81 ± 0.05-fold for WKY) (Fig. 1B). ANG-(1–7) (0.01 μM) also decreased the NS-induced NPY overflow from the mesenteric beds of SHR (to 0.65 ± 0.07-fold of control), while it did not affect that from WKY preparations (Fig. 1C). ANG-(1–7) did not alter basal NE and NPY overflow values.

ANG-(1–7) attenuates the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure, NE, and NPY overflow from SHR mesenteric arterial beds at three different concentrations. ANG-(1–7) administration 15 min before and during NS resulted in a significant attenuation of the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure of mesenteric preparations from 10- to 12-wk-old SHR (Fig. 2A). The increase in perfusion pressure was reduced from 185.7 ± 11.9 to 124.3 ± 12.7, 160.6 ± 6.34 to 101.4 ± 13.2, and 195.7 ± 5.3 to 150 ± 8.9 mmHg in the presence of 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 μM ANG-(1–7), respectively. These changes are depicted as increase from basal, which represents the difference between the NS-induced peak increase in perfusion pressure and the basal perfusion pressure value. ANG-(1–7) did not affect basal perfusion pressure values.

In a similar way as perfusion pressure, ANG-(1–7) at all three concentrations (0.001, 0.01, and 0.1μM) significantly attenuated the NS-induced NE (Fig. 2B) and NPY (Fig. 2C) overflow from the mesenteric beds of 10- to 12-wk-old SHR.

NS-induced increase in NE and NPY overflow in the absence of drug was set as one (control), and the fold change from this value in the presence of drug is plotted. NE overflow decreased to 0.45 ± 0.12-, 0.45 ± 0.08-, and 0.51 ± 0.06-fold of control in the presence of 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 μM ANG-(1–7), respectively. Nerve-stimulated NPY overflow decreased to 0.66 ± 0.11-, 0.65 ± 0.07-, and 0.77 ± 0.1-fold of control due to administration of 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 μM ANG-(1–7), respectively (Fig. 2C). ANG-(1–7) did not affect basal NE and NPY overflow. The inhibitory effect of ANG-(1–7) on the NS-induced overflow of NE was greater than the effect on NPY overflow.

The effect of ANG-(1–7) on NS-induced increases in perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow from SHR preparations is attenuated in the presence of PD-123319 (AT2 receptor antagonist) and d-Ala7-ANG-(1–7) (Mas-receptor antagonist). We proceeded to investigate whether the observed effects were the result of a receptor-mediated process, and, if so, which receptor was involved. The mesenteric preparations were pretreated with PD-123319 (AT2 receptor antagonist), EMD66684 (AT1 receptor antagonist), or d-Ala7-ANG-(1–7) (Mas-receptor antagonist) at a concentration of 0.1 μM for 15
min before the administration of ANG-(1–7) (0.01 μM). The results of these experiments are depicted in Fig. 3C. Neither the AT₁- nor the AT₂-receptor antagonist altered the ANG-(1–7)-induced attenuation of evoked NPY overflow in the concentrations utilized. In contrast, the Mas-receptor antagonist d-Ala⁷-ANG-(1–7) completely reversed the effect.

l-NAME attenuated the effect of ANG-(1–7) on nerve-stimulated perfusion pressure and NE overflow, but not NPY overflow. We next investigated whether the attenuating effects of ANG-(1–7) on NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure as well as NE and NPY overflow involved the endogenous mediators NO and/or bradykinin.

l-NAME by itself resulted in a rise in the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure (control = 186 ± 6.55 mmHg; l-NAME = 230 ± 13.6 mmHg) (Fig. 4). The ANG-(1–7)-induced decrease in NS-induced enhancement of perfusion pressure was prevented by l-NAME (Fig. 4). l-NAME by itself did not alter basal perfusion pressure or NE and NPY overflow values.
L-NAME alone did not alter the NS-induced increases in NE and NPY overflow from the mesenteric preparations of 10- to 12-wk-old SHR (1.15 ± 0.024-fold for NE and 0.82 ± 0.1-fold for NPY overflow). In contrast, L-NAME prevented the ANG-(1–7)-induced decrease in the evoked release of NE, but failed to alter the ANG-(1–7)-induced attenuation of the evoked NPY overflow (Fig. 5).

Bradyzine (B2-receptor antagonist) attenuated the effect of ANG-(1–7), the bradykinin B2 receptor antagonist (B2RA) bradyzine, or ANG-(1–7) plus bradyzine on the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure in 10- to 12-wk-old SHR mesenteric preparations. Bradyzine was administered in a concentration of 0.1 μM for 15 min alone or in the presence of ANG-(1–7) (0.01 μM), before and during NS. Each bar is the mean increase in perfusion pressure ± SE of 6–7 preparations. ***P < 0.001 compared with control.

Bradyzine (B2-receptor antagonist) attenuated the effect of ANG-(1–7) on nerve-stimulated perfusion pressure and NE overflow, but not NPY overflow. The decrease in nerve-stimulated perfusion pressure by ANG-(1–7) was attenuated in the presence of bradyzine (Fig. 6). In addition, pretreatment with the B2-receptor antagonist bradyzine attenuated the reduction in nerve-stimulated NE overflow by ANG-(1–7) (0.80 ± 0.09-fold of control) (Fig. 7). However, the effect of ANG-(1–7) on NPY overflow was not attenuated (0.46 ± 0.07-fold of control) (Fig. 7). The B2-receptor antagonist did not alter basal perfusion pressure or neurotransmitter overflow values. In the presence of bradyzine alone, NS-induced perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow values were 188 ± 15.4 mmHg.
(control = 186 ± 9.7 mmHg), 0.96 ± 0.06-fold of control (NE overflow), and 0.85 ± 0.14-fold of control (NPY overflow). Data are expressed as previously described.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of ANG-(1–7) on NS-induced perfusion pressure changes and neurotransmitter overflow from the perfused mesenteric arterial bed of rats and observe any differences between hypertensive and normotensive strains. In addition, we examined the mechanisms involved in the mediation of the observed effects.

Vascular tone is largely under the control of the SNS. The sympathetic neurotransmitters ATP, NE, and NPY are released from nerve terminals to result in direct postjunctural vasoconstriction, as well as prejunctional feedback inhibition of their own and each others’ release (23, 33). We found that NS increases perfusion pressure to a greater extent in mesenteric arterial preparations of SHR than those of WKY controls (Table 1). This was accompanied by greater NE and NPY overflow from SHR preparations upon NS (Table 1). These results are consistent with reported evidence of dysfunction at both the pre- and postjunctional level of neurotransmission in hypertension, resulting in increased levels of neurotransmitter release and enhanced vasoconstriction (9, 16, 20, 21, 26, 52).

As mentioned, the RAS also regulates vascular tone. We have obtained evidence of a functional local RAS in the isolated, perfused mesenteric bed of the rat (4). ANG II has long been considered the main biologically active product of the RAS. ANG II produces multiple actions, including direct vasoconstriction and release of aldosterone from the adrenal cortex via activation of AT1 receptors. In addition, ANG II enhances sympathetic neurotransmission (5, 7, 8, 25, 31, 34–36, 44, 60, 61), which contributes to the vasopressor effects of the peptide. Our recently published data suggest that ANG II enhances both NE and NPY overflow from the mesenteric arterial beds of SHR to a greater extent than from WKY control, even at a prehypertensive stage, suggesting a possible mechanism contributing to the development of hypertension in these animals (4). The discovery of ANG-(1–7) by Ferrario et al. (12–14), of ACE2 by Tipnis et al. (55), and of a functional ANG-(1–7) receptor by Santos et al. (47) introduced a new operational axis in the RAS, which revolutionized the view of the function of this system. ANG-(1–7) is thought to act as an endogenous inhibitor of the actions of ANG II, therefore resulting in hypotensive effects (14, 27). In addition, ANG-(1–7) is thought to be a major contributor to the beneficial blood pressure lowering abilities of ACE inhibitors and ARBs (12, 24). Iyer et al. (24) confirmed that both ACE inhibitor and ARB treatment were associated with markedly elevated ANG-(1–7) levels in SHR, and that either neutralization of circulating ANG-(1–7) or blockade of ANG-(1–7) synthesis with a nephrilysin inhibitor resulted in elevation of blood pressure in ACE inhibitor and ARB-treated groups. However, the data on the effects of ANG-(1–7) on sympathetic neurotransmission is controversial. ANG-(1–7) was found to enhance NE release in rat atria and kidney preparations (17, 54), as well as result in ANG II-like pressor effects when microinjected to the rostral ventral lateral medulla of the rat (49). Our laboratory has previously found that ANG II enhances the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure NPY overflow and NE overflow (40). We, therefore, hypothesized that, as an endogenous inhibitor of ANG II, ANG-(1–7) would reduce the NS-induced increases in perfusion pressure and NE and NPY overflow. The data we obtained support this hypothesis. At three different concentrations, ANG-(1–7) significantly attenuated the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure and NE and NPY overflow (Fig. 2) from SHR preparations. ANG-(1–7) also decreased the NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure of WKY preparations (Fig. 1A). However, the effect of ANG-(1–7) on the evoked NE and NPY overflow was greater in preparations from SHR than WKY (Fig. 1, B and C). As shown, SHR mesenteric beds have higher nerve-stimulated perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow values than WKY; therefore, the observed reduction by ANG-(1–7) would be relatively greater in SHR. In addition, recent reports suggest that the production of ANG-(1–7) in SHR is impaired compared with that in WKY (10). Crackower et al. (10) reported that ACE2 mRNA and protein expression is markedly reduced in three different hypertensive rat models, suggesting a role for reduced ANG-(1–7) synthesis in hypertension development. In addition, ANG II was reported to downregulate ACE2 expression in hypertensive human heart and kidney tissue preparations and, therefore, result in a net increase in ANG II levels, propagating the ANG II hypertensive effects (30). Therefore, adding ANG-(1–7) to SHR preparations restores the balance between the ANG II and ANG-(1–7) arms of the RAS, resulting in decreased perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow. On the other hand, WKY rats have an intact ANG-(1–7) synthesis pathway; therefore, addition of the heptapeptide would not result in further reductions in perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow.

In the present studies, we observed that the modulation of perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow by ANG-(1–7) in the mesenteric arterial bed of SHR is mediated by either AT2 or Mas receptors, or both. In contrast, we found no involvement of the AT1 receptor in the ANG-(1–7)-mediated effects. Both the AT2 and Mas receptor antagonists were able to attenuate the reduction of NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure and NE overflow by ANG-(1–7) (Fig. 3, A and B). However, the effect of ANG-(1–7) on NPY overflow appears to be mediated solely through the activation of the Mas receptors (Fig. 3C). It is important to consider that some of the effects observed in the presence of AT2-receptor antagonist could be due to the conversion of ANG II to ANG-(1–7) and its consequent action on Mas receptors or some other as yet unidentified receptor. Both the Mas and AT2 receptors are reported to activate pathways that lead to the release of NO. In conscious rats, activation of the RAS during sodium depletion increased renal NO through the stimulation of AT2 receptors (50). In addition, aortic explants from transgenic mice overexpressing the AT2 receptor showed increased cGMP production (56). These AT2-mediated effects were abolished by either removal of the endothelium or treatment with l-NAME (NO synthesis inhibitor), suggesting the involvement of NO. Our laboratory has previously shown that NO is able to deactivate CAs but not NPY and, therefore, alters perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow patterns in rat mesenteric arterial preparations (28, 29). Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that ANG-(1–7) acting on Mas and AT2 receptors stimulates the release of NO, which results in a direct vasodilator effect, as well as a net reduction in NE overflow without directly...
affecting NPY. We were able to further support the above contention with our results shown in Figs. 4 and 5A. In the presence of l-NAME, the effects of ANG-(1–7) on NS-induced increase in perfusion pressure and NE overflow were abolished. However, the effect on NPY overflow was not changed (Fig. 5B). This is consistent with reported data that ANG-(1–7) increases NO, either by acting on its Mas receptors (59), or by preventing the activation of NADPH oxidase, which results in reduced superoxide anion levels and increased bioavailable NO (1). Therefore, it is suggested that some of the beneficial effects of ANG-(1–7) on blood pressure and vascular function are NO mediated; however, other mechanisms of action are not to be excluded. In addition, ANG-(1–7) is reported to augment the vasodilator action of bradykinin (12, 32) and that some of its effects are attenuated in the presence of a bradykinin B₂ receptor antagonist (19). We also observed that the ANG-(1–7) effect on nerve-stimulated perfusion pressure and NE overflow was attenuated in the presence of the bradykinin B₂ receptor antagonist bradyzine (Figs. 6 and 7A). Similar to l-NAME, bradyzine did not attenuate the effect of ANG-(1–7) on nerve-stimulated NPY overflow (Fig. 7B). These findings are consistent with reports that bradykinin can result in hypotensive effects by stimulating NO release via activation of B₂ receptors (51).

A schematic representation of the modulation by ANG II and ANG-(1–7) of NE and NPY neurotransmission from sympathetic nerves obtained from 10- to 12-wk old WKY and SHR is depicted in Fig. 8. Figure 8A depicts neurotransmission in normotensive rats and Fig. 8B depicts neurotransmission in hypertensive rats.

---

**A**  Modulation of NE and NPY neurotransmission in normotensive rats

**B**  Modulation of NE and NPY neurotransmission in hypertensive rats

---

Fig. 8. Depiction of the modulation by ANG II and ANG-(1–7) of NE and NPY neurotransmission from the perfused mesenteric arterial bed obtained from WKY (A) and SHR (B). Mas, ANG-(1–7) Mas receptor; α₂, adrenergic A₂ receptor; Y₂, NPY Y₂ receptor; SMC, smooth muscle cell; EC, endothelial cell; NO, nitric oxide; eNOS, endothelial NO synthase.
normotensive rats. NS results in release of NE and NPY from the nerve varicosity, which, in turn, acts on their postjunctional receptors and results in vasoconstriction. ANG II augments the release of NE, NPY, and vasoconstriction. In contrast, ANG(–1–7) decreases NE and NPY release from the nerve varicosity and induces NO release, resulting in vasodilation and NE deactivation, therefore opposing the effect of ANG II. Figure 8B depicts the alterations that occur in blood vessels from hypertensive rats. The effect of ANG II is augmented in hypertension, resulting in increased NE and NPY overflow and enhanced vasoconstriction. Correspondingly, ANG(–1–7) levels are likely decreased in hypertensive rats, resulting in a decreased attenuation of the effects of ANG II. This results in an imbalance, which predisposes to increased NE and NPY levels and increased vasoconstriction supporting hypertension.

In conclusion, ANG(–1–7) decreases perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow from the mesenteric beds of SHR to a greater extent than WKY. We have previously found that ANG II increases perfusion pressure and neurotransmitter overflow (4); therefore, the present data support the hypothesis that ANG(–1–7) is an endogenous inhibitor of the ANG II-mediated actions of the RAS. Our data suggest that the effects of ANG(–1–7) on NE overflow are mediated by the AT2 and Mas receptors, and that the mediators NO and bradykinin are also involved. Interestingly, the effect of ANG(–1–7) on NPY overflow was reversed only in the presence of the Mas receptor antagonist, suggesting that the modulation of NPY overflow by ANG(–1–7) is directly through the Mas receptors and does not involve the participation of the mediators NO or bradykinin. We have summarized our findings and our overall hypothesis about the effect of ANG II and ANG(–1–7) on NE and NPY neurotransmission and how it is altered in hypertension in Fig. 8. We believe that hypertension, in part, results from an imbalance between ANG II and ANG(–1–7) effects on sympathetic neurotransmission. As discussed, there is evidence to support that ANG(–1–7) levels are decreased in hypertensive models. There is also evidence to suggest that there is an increase in AT1-receptor subtypes in hypertensive models, resulting in augmented ANG II effects. The aforementioned findings suggest that a functional imbalance in the arms of the RAS, resulting in increased ANG II activity coupled with a decrease in ANG(–1–7) formation, may be at the heart of the development of hypertensive disease, and that restoring this balance by increasing ANG(–1–7) synthesis could be a valuable therapeutic target.
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