Altered coronary vascular control during cold stress in healthy older adults
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Gao Z, Wilson TE, Drew RC, Ettinger J, Monahan KD. Altered coronary vascular control during cold stress in healthy older adults. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 302: H312–H318, 2012. First published October 14, 2011; doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00297.2011.—Cardiovascular-related mortality increases in the cold winter months, particularly in older adults. Previously, we reported that determinants of myocardial O2 demand, such as the rate-pressure product, increase more in older adults compared with young adults during cold stress. The aim of the present study was to determine if aging influences the coronary hemodynamic response to cold stress in humans. Transthoracic Doppler echocardiography was used to noninvasively measure peak coronary blood velocity in the left anterior descending artery before and during acute (20 min) whole body cold stress in 10 young adults (25 ± 1 yr) and 11 older healthy adults (65 ± 2 yr). Coronary vascular resistance (diastolic blood pressure/peak coronary blood velocity), coronary perfusion time fraction (coronary perfusion time/R-R interval), and left ventricular wall stress were calculated. We found that cooling (via a water-perfused suit) increased left ventricular wall stress, a primary determinant of myocardial O2 consumption, in both young and older adults, although the magnitude of this increase was nearly twofold greater in older adults (change of 9.1 ± 3.5% vs. 17.6 ± 3.2%, P < 0.05, change from baseline in young and older adults and young vs. older adults). Despite the increased myocardial O2 demand during cooling, coronary vasodilation (decreased coronary vascular resistance) occurred only in young adults (3.22 ± 0.23 to 2.85 ± 0.18 mmHg·cm−1·s−1, P < 0.05) and not older adults (3.97 ± 0.24 to 3.79 ± 0.27 mmHg·cm−1·s−1, P > 0.05). Consistent with a blunted coronary vascular response, absolute coronary perfusion time tended to decrease (P = 0.13) and coronary perfusion time fraction decreased (P < 0.05) during cooling in older adults but not young adults. Collectively, these data suggest that older adults demonstrate an altered coronary hemodynamic response to acute cold stress.

METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-one subjects participated in this study; 10 subjects were 18–35 yr old (young) and 11 subjects were 55–79 yr old (older). All were healthy, unmedicated, normotensive [blood pressure (BP) at rest <140/90 mmHg], nonsmokers, and nonobese (body mass index <30 kg/m2; Table 1). The Institutional Review Board of the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine approved the experiments. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects before testing. Experiments were performed after a minimum 4-h fast and 12- and 24-h abstinence from caffeine and alcohol, respectively.

Experimental Protocol

Subjects were studied in the supine position while clothed in a high-density tube-lined water-perfused suit (Med-Eng Systems, Ottawa, ON, Canada). This suit clothed the entire body except the subject’s head, hands, and feet and had two-way full-length zippers along the torso to allow access for echocardiography and assessment of coronary hemodynamics with minimal exposure of the chest to ambient conditions. Skin surface temperature was controlled by altering the temperature of the water perfusing the suit using a combination of an on-board heater and ice added directly to an external reservoir tank and circulator connected in series to a centrifugal magnetic pump. Suit water perfusion temperature was maintained at 35°C except when cooling was desired. All subjects performed a cooling trial, which consisted of a 6-min baseline period (water temperature: 35°C) followed by a cooling period (20 min, water temperature: 15°C, cooling trial). A subgroup of young (n = 5) and older (n = 4) subjects also performed an additional trial (control trial)
in which water perfusion temperature was maintained at 35°C throughout the baseline (6 min) and experimental (20 min) periods (cooling and control trials were randomized). No less than 30 min elapsed between consecutive trials, during which time suit water perfusion temperature was maintained at 35°C. During data collection periods, BP and heart rate (HR) were measured every 2 min and oral and skin surface temperatures every 5 min. Echocardiography measurements were made at baseline as well as in the final 5 min of each trial (cooling and control trials).

Measurements

BP and HR. BP was measured over the brachial artery (Dinamap). A three-lead ECG was used to measure HR. Rate-pressure product was calculated as the product of systolic BP and HR.

Temperature. Oral temperature was measured using a thermocouple placed under the tongue. Skin surface temperature was measured as a weighted average of values recorded at four skin sites (chest, arm, thigh, and leg). (40).

Echocardiography and coronary hemodynamics. Transthoracic echocardiography was performed with a digital ultrasound system (iE33, Philips Ultrasound, Bothell, WA) using broadband sector ultrasound transducers (S5-2 for echo, 5.0–2.0 MHz and S8-3 for coronary flow velocity, 8.0–3.0 MHz) as previously described (32, 34). Cardiac images were recorded in multiple cross-sectional planes with the use of standard transducer positions (41). M-mode imaging from the parasternal view between the mitral valve and papillary muscle was used for the echocardiography examination. During this examination, the ultrasound beam was positioned perpendicular to the interventricular septum and left ventricular posterior wall, allowing a clear view of the left ventricular diameter during diastole and systole. Left ventricular internal diameter was measured at the furthest endocardium endpoints. Mean left ventricular wall stress was calculated as follows: \[
\frac{\text{ystolic BP} \times (\text{average of end-diastolic and end-systolic left ventricular diameters})}{2}\] (39). Indexes of coronary blood flow were obtained after identification of the distal portion of the left anterior descending artery with color flow mapping (Fig. 1). For color Doppler flow mapping, the velocity range was set at \( \pm 19 \text{ cm/s} \). The color gain was adjusted to provide optimal imaging. The left ventricle was imaged in the long-axis cross section, and the ultrasound beam was inclined laterally. After the distal portion of the left anterior descending artery was located in the region of the apex of the left ventricle, care was taken to position the transducer in a manner that allowed a long-axis view of the artery. With a sample volume (2.0 mm) positioned over the color signal in the left anterior descending artery, coronary blood velocity (CBV) was measured at end expiration. The Doppler signal profiles of coronary blood flow during the diastolic portion of each cardiac cycle were analyzed using Pro Solv 3.0 to obtain CBV.

The peak and mean CBV, velocity time integral of CBV (VTI-CBV), and duration of coronary blood flow signals during diastole were measured (Fig. 1). The coronary perfusion time fraction was calculated as absolute coronary perfusion time/R-R interval \( \times 100 \). Coronary vascular resistance (CVR) was calculated as diastolic BP/peak CBV. All coronary-derived data were averaged over three to five cardiac cycles (Fig. 1).

Intraobserver and Interobserver Variability and Reproducibility

A single experienced researcher performed all the coronary blood flow signal recordings and measurements. To assess intra- and interobserver variability, coronary hemodynamic variables (including peak CBV, VTI-CBV, mean CBV, and coronary perfusion time) from 10 randomized subjects were measured by 2 investigators separately. Reproducibility was assessed in six subjects under identical experi-

Table 1. Subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Young Group</th>
<th>Older Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>8 men/2 women</td>
<td>8 men/2 women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>65 ± 2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>176.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>174.2 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>75.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>76.5 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area, m²</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; \( n = 10 \) subjects/group. *\( P < 0.05 \) compared with the young group.

Fig. 1. Original transthoracic Doppler echocardiogram (top) and coronary blood flow velocity (CBV) profile (bottom) demonstrating measurements of the coronary perfusion time fraction.
Increased myocardial O2 demand significantly, as indicated by differences between young and older adults (Table 2). Cooling in resting thermoneutral conditions (i.e., before cooling) did not increase in young adults (change: 0.05 vs. baseline; †P < 0.05 vs. the young group at baseline; ‡P < 0.05 vs. change in the young group).

**Statistical Analysis**

Independent t-tests were used to examine group differences (young compared with older adults) in subject characteristics. Repeated-measures ANOVAs were used to assess the effects of the experiments. Statistical significance was established at P < 0.05. All data are reported as means ± SE. Intraclass correlation coefficients with 95% confidence intervals were calculated to test intra- and interobserver variability and to assess reproducibility. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

**RESULTS**

**Subject Characteristics**

In addition to age differences, BPs in older subjects at rest were higher than those in young subjects (Tables 1 and 2).

Among the 10 young adults and 11 older adults in whom coronary measurements were attempted, adequate signals could be obtained in all but 1 older adult. Thus, data are presented for only 10 young adults and 10 older adults.

**Effect of Skin Surface Cooling on Systemic Hemodynamics**

Cooling decreased skin surface temperatures similarly in young adults (change: −2.5 ± 0.3°C) and older adults (change: −2.9 ± 0.3°C, P = 0.38, young vs. older adults) without affecting sublingual temperature in either group (change: −0.1 ± 0.1 vs. −0.1 ± 0.1°C for young and older adults, respectively, P = 0.62). Cooling elicited a pressor response (increase in BP) in young and older adults (P < 0.05), although the magnitude of this response was greater in older adults (systolic and mean BP; Table 2).

Rate-pressure product and left ventricular wall stress under resting thermoneutral conditions (i.e., before cooling) did not differ between young and older adults (Table 2). Cooling increased myocardial O2 demand significantly, as indicated by increased left ventricular wall stress (young and older adults; Table 2). Increases in left ventricular wall stress during cooling were greater in older adults compared with young adults (P < 0.05, young vs. older adults; Table 2). Furthermore, cooling increased rate-pressure product in older adults (P < 0.05). In contrast, no change in rate-pressure product was observed in young adults during cooling (Table 2).

No subject provided any indication of myocardial ischemia during the protocols. Consistent with this, no regional left ventricular wall motion abnormalities were observed before, during, or after the cooling trial.

**Effect of Skin Surface Cooling on Coronary Hemodynamics**

Peak CBV, mean CBV, VTI-CBV, coronary perfusion time, and coronary perfusion time fraction under resting thermoneutral conditions (i.e., before cooling) did not differ between young and older adults (Table 2 and Fig. 2). CVR was higher in older adults compared with young adults before cooling (Table 2). In response to cooling, peak CBV, mean CBV and VTI-CBV increased (all P < 0.05; Table 2), and CVR decreased in young adults (P < 0.05 compared with normothermia) but not older adults (Fig. 2).

Under resting thermoneutral conditions, HR (and RR-interval) and absolute coronary perfusion time were similar in the

---

**Table 2. Hemodynamics during the cooling trial**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Young Group (Baseline)</th>
<th>Young Group (Cooling)</th>
<th>Older Group (Baseline)</th>
<th>Older Group (Cooling)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>115 ± 2</td>
<td>122 ± 3*</td>
<td>128 ± 4†</td>
<td>147 ± 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>65 ± 1</td>
<td>71 ± 2*</td>
<td>73 ± 3†</td>
<td>78 ± 3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP, mmHg</td>
<td>85 ± 1</td>
<td>90 ± 1</td>
<td>94 ± 3</td>
<td>102 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats/min</td>
<td>68 ± 3</td>
<td>59 ± 3</td>
<td>54 ± 2</td>
<td>52 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-R interval, ms</td>
<td>1,057 ± 66</td>
<td>1,037 ± 47</td>
<td>1,130 ± 43</td>
<td>1,176 ± 38*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP, mmHg·beats·min⁻¹</td>
<td>6,720 ± 343</td>
<td>7,203 ± 458</td>
<td>6,955 ± 491</td>
<td>7,614 ± 552*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular wall stress, g/cm²</td>
<td>186 ± 6</td>
<td>204 ± 10*</td>
<td>194 ± 9</td>
<td>229 ± 15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1*</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>17 ± 1*</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI-CBV, cm</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 1*</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time, ms</td>
<td>537 ± 67</td>
<td>551 ± 48</td>
<td>598 ± 28</td>
<td>560 ± 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time fraction, %</td>
<td>50 ± 4</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; n = 10 subjects/group. BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate; RPP, rate-product pressure; CBV, coronary blood velocity; VTI-CBV, velocity time interval of CBV. *P < 0.05 vs. baseline (same age group); †P < 0.05 vs. the young group at baseline; ‡P < 0.05 vs. change in the young group.
young and older groups. Coronary perfusion time tended to decrease \( (P = 0.13) \) during cooling in older adults despite small, but statistically significant, increases in R-R interval. Coronary perfusion time fraction decreased during cooling in older but not young adults \( (P < 0.05, \text{young compared with older adults; Fig. 2}) \).

Representative recordings of CBV at baseline and during cooling from a young adult and an older adult are shown in Fig. 3.

**Responses to the Control Trial**

In response to the control trial (noncooling), all of the above-described variables were unchanged in both young and older adults (Table 3).

**Interobserver and Intraobserver Variability and Reproducibility**

Table 4 shows intraclass correlation coefficients, with 95% confidence intervals, for inter- and intraobserver variability and reproducibility. All intraclass correlation coefficient values were $>0.8$, which is considered excellent agreement (14).

**DISCUSSION**

The primary new finding of the present study is that aging is associated with an altered coronary hemodynamic response to acute whole body cold stress in healthy humans. This altered coronary hemodynamic response to cold stress includes an impaired coronary vasodilatory response that is associated with unchanged peak CBV and CVR in the left anterior descending artery. This impaired coronary vasodilator response to cold stress in older adults occurs at a time when myocardial O$_2$ demand (rate-pressure product and left ventricular mean wall stress) is increasing. In contrast, young adults demonstrate a coronary vasodilator response (i.e., increased myocardial O$_2$ supply) to cold stress when myocardial O$_2$ demand (i.e., left ventricular mean wall stress) is only slightly increasing.

Myocardial O$_2$ demand appears to increase more in older adults compared with young adults during acute cold stress. In the present study, cold stress resulted in an augmented pressor response (systolic and mean BP) and a greater increase in rate-pressure product in older adults compared with young adults, consistent with our previous findings (20, 48). As the same cooling protocol has been shown to increase left ventricular end-diastolic volume and indexes of afterload in older adults but not young adults (48), it appears that myocardial O$_2$ demand increases to a greater extent in older adults during cold stress. The present study confirms these prior findings and extends them by showing that left ventricular mean wall stress increases more in older adults compared with young adults during cold stress. This finding is important, as left ventricular mean wall stress is a primary determinant of myocardial O$_2$ consumption (31, 39, 44).

Despite greater increases in myocardial O$_2$ demand in older adults compared with young adults during cold stress, myocar-
It appears that increases in vascular shear stress elicited during cold stress in older adults. In coronary vessels, it seems that endothelial dysfunction, as a result of increased oxidative stress (4, 19). Recent evidence has suggested that at least a portion of the attenuated coronary vasodilation may be due to increases in ROS and its subsequent effects on nitric oxide (26, 29). In humans, ascorbic acid reduces the constriction of epicardial arteries in response to a cold pressor test (submerging the hand in ice water) in both hypertensive and hypercholesterolemia patients (24). Moreover, impairments in endothelium-dependent dilation with age in peripheral blood vessels, such as the brachial artery, are largely reversed by ascorbic acid (15). Collectively, these data indicate that endothelial dysfunction, as a result of increased oxidative stress, may contribute to impaired coronary vasodilatory responses to cold stress in older adults.

It is possible that the blunted coronary vasodilator response to cold stress in older adults could be mediated via the sympathetic nervous system. There are at least three ways by which the sympathetic nervous system may be involved. The first involves potential group differences in sympathetic outflow responses to cold stress. The direct effect of skin cooling on cardiac sympathetic nerve activity is presently unknown. However, it is well known that cold elicits sympathoexcitation (13), which vasoconstricts various organs of the body (49). In the anesthetized rat, skin surface cooling directly increases HR (38). This HR effect is generally not observed in human studies, and making group comparisons in the HR responses is made more difficult as a result of group differences in barore-

Table 3. Hemodynamics during the control trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Young Group</th>
<th>Older Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>66 ± 1</td>
<td>67 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP, mmHg</td>
<td>86 ± 1</td>
<td>86 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats/min</td>
<td>58 ± 5</td>
<td>56 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-R interval, ms</td>
<td>1,102 ± 121</td>
<td>1,082 ± 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP, mmHg·beats·min⁻¹</td>
<td>6,650 ± 690</td>
<td>6,440 ± 640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular wall stress, g/cm²</td>
<td>179 ± 4</td>
<td>180 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI-CBV, cm</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time, ms</td>
<td>594 ± 12</td>
<td>592 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time fraction, %</td>
<td>52 ± 7</td>
<td>53 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary vascular resistance, mmHg·cm⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; n = 5 subjects in the young group and 4 subjects in the older group. Coronary vascular resistance was calculated as diastolic BP/CBV.

*P < 0.05 vs. the young group (at baseline).

Table 4. Intraclass correlation coefficient values and 95% confidence intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intraclass correlation coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
<th>Intraclass correlation coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
<th>Intraclass correlation coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.81–0.99</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.89–0.99</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.17–0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CBV, cm/s</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.79–0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.82–0.98</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.17–0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI-CBV, cm</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.81–0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.87–0.99</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18–0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time, ms</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.69–0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.89–0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.38–0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary perfusion time fraction, %</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.45–0.95</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.65–0.96</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.47–0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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flex function (35). Second, coronary arteries in older adults may lose their ability to oppose adrenergic vasoconstriction (16) as a result of endothelial dysfunction. For example, nitric oxide is present throughout the coronary vasculature (28), and thus a loss in the ability of vessels to generate nitric oxide may result in relatively less opposition to sympathetically mediated vasoconstriction in older adults compared with young adults. Finally, coronary sympathetic outflow may produce β-adrenergically mediated vasodilatation. It is possible that β-adrenergically mediated vasodilatation is impaired with age as a result of loss and/or desensitization of β-adrenergic receptors (51).

Myocardial perfusion occurs predominantly in diastole. Myocardial perfusion time or duration have not been previously reported, likely as a result of technical limitations. Instead, prior studies (5, 17, 18, 27, 30) measured diastolic perfusion time or diastolic time fraction inversely from intraventricular or coronary pressure curves or phonocardiograms. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use transthoracic Doppler echocardiography to measure the duration of coronary flow perfusion. We believe that the coronary perfusion time fraction is similar in principle to the diastolic time fraction. We also believe that echocardiographically derived coronary perfusion time fraction may hold several advantages over measures of diastolic perfusion time: 1) the technique is noninvasive; 2) the profiles of the coronary blood flow signal obtained via echocardiography captures the true duration or time of coronary perfusion at the measured levels, unlike diastolic perfusion time, which may also include isovolumic systolic or diastolic periods; and 3) the sampling locations are more distally located in the vascular tree and thus in closer proximity to the coronary microcirculation.

In addition to an impaired ability of coronary vessels to dilate, for the reasons described above, during cold stress in older adults other factors may also contribute. For instance, previous studies (18, 27, 30) have suggested that modulation of diastolic time fraction is an important mechanism engaged to maintain the balance between myocardial O2 supply and demand. Consistent with this fact, diastolic time fraction and coronary perfusion are inversely related (30). In the present study, we observed a reduction in coronary perfusion time fraction and a trend for a reduction in absolute coronary perfusion time in older adults during cold stress. It is possible that this factor may have contributed in part to the impaired coronary vasodilator response to cold stress in older adults, although the exact role played cannot be determined.

The role of coronary zero-flow pressure in coronary vascular regulation is largely unknown (11, 16, 23). Van Herck et al. (47) found that increased coronary zero-flow pressure might impair myocardial perfusion in patients after myocardial infarction, which may influence the timing of coronary perfusion. In the present study, we estimate that zero-flow pressure increased only slightly during cooling in older adults (~3 mmHg), based on previous human studies (37, 47). Thus, we do not believe that this factor explains our findings.

This study has several limitations. First, our sample size was relatively small. We did not observe sex differences in this study, but subject number may have underpowered this observation, as some coronary hemodynamic differences (32) have been observed. Second, the results of this study may not be applicable to populations not represented in our sample. For example, all of our subjects were Caucasian. Thus, extrapolation of our findings to other ethnicities should be done with caution, as a previous study (1) observed racial differences in response to other types of cold stress (cold pressor test). Finally, our cooling paradigm was unique, and thus the results of the study may not apply to other forms of cooling that may differ in application.

In conclusion, the primary new finding of the present study is that older adults demonstrate an impaired coronary vasodilatory response to acute cold stress compared with young adults. The mechanisms underlying this impaired dilator response may involve an impaired ability of coronary vessels to increase blood flow. This lack of an increase in myocardial O2 supply in older adults during cooling occurs at a time when myocardial O2 demand (rate-pressure product and left ventricular mean wall stress) is increasing. These findings suggest that aging may detrimentally alter the balance between myocardial O2 supply and demand during acute cold stress.
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